IN THE DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN

STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C-1616

| <pre>rHE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,) Plaintiff,)</pre> | o relico |
|--|----------|
| vs. | COUNTY |
| THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY, | KULLING |
| Defendant.) | |

BE IT REMEMBERED, that the above-entitled matter 11:00 a.m., before the HONORABLE GEORGE E. LOHR, District Counsel, on the 15th day of April, 1977, at the hour of came on for hearing of Defendant's Motion For Leave To Conduct Pro Se Defense And For Appointment Of Advisory Colerade. Judge, Pitkin County Courthouse, Aspen,

APPEARANCES

SAM SHAW, Deputy District Attorney, Courthouse Colorado. Æ. Annex, Aspen,

CHARLES G. LEIDNER, Deputy State Public Defender Public Defender, 1575 Sherman Street, Denver, Colorado; JAMES F. DUMAS, JR., Chief Deputy State P.O. Box 1105, Glenwood Springs, Colorado, on behalf the Defendant, who was also present in person. MR. and MR.

AND THEREUPON, the following proceedings were to-wit: had,

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN STATE OF CHORADO IN THE DISTRICT COURT

CRIMINAL ACTION NUMBER - CIBIL

People of the State of Colorados, Plaintiff,

CERTIFICATE O DELIVERY

> THEODORE R. BUNDY, DEFENDANT.

IN Supposer of Motion, AND PROPOSED ORDER CONDUCT PROSE DEFENSE AND FOR THE Appointment OF Appointment of Applicory Counsel, Affichait BE FIAND I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT A TRUE AND ABOVE-CAPTIONED MATTER HAS BEEN TO SHERITH DICK KIENAST THIS CORRECT COPY OF THE PHOTION FOR LEAVE TO DELIVERED TO TRANK THOKER, PITKIN COUNTY, DISTRICT ATTORNEY. Appointment of GIVEN TO in the

THEODORE R. BUNDY

Pitkin IN THE DISTRICT GURT COUNTY OF STATE OF COWRADO FOR THE IN AND

CRIMINAL HOTION NUMBER-CHOIL

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF GUORADO, PLAINTIFF, VS. THEOLOORE R. BUNDY, DEFENDANT.

Motion FOR LEAVE TO CONDUCT PRO SE. DEFENSE AND FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF ADVISORY COUNSEL

COMES NOW THE DEFENDANT, THEODORE R. FOLLOWING: BUNDY, AND STATES +HE

COLORADO CONSTITUTION AND THE SIXTH AMENDMENT THAT IN THE PRESENT 1. THAT ABTICLE 2, SECTION 16 OF THE BOTH PRESERVE A CRIMINAL DEFENDANTIS RIBHT to CONDUCT CASE THE DEFENDANT HEREBY SEEKS TO OF THE 4.5. CONSTITUTION HIS OWN DEFENSE, AND THIS RIGHT. EXERCISE

BY ATTORNEYS) OFFICE OF THE THAY THE DEFENDANT WITHDEAKUS STATE OF CRURADO to BE REPRESENTED From the RE QUEST

COURRING THE CONDUCT PREPARE AND PRESENT HIS DEFENSE IN FULL ACORDANCE WITH PROCEDURAL, LEGAL AND INTENDS PUBUIC DEFENDER.
3. TAKT THE DEFENDANT ETHICAL GROWND RULES

PRESENTING 七次 4. THAT THE DEFENDANT, RECOGNIZING SEEKS THE INEXPERIENCE IN CERTAIN ATTURNEY to SERVE IN AN ADVISORY CAPACITY TO DEFENDANT. AND ASSIST DEFENDANT Cuspados. RESEARCHING, INVESTIGATING, AND ARTES OF THE ORIMINAL LAW, PROSECUTIONS IN APPOINTIMENT OF A COCAL DEFENDANT, AND ASSIST とと DEFENSE1S HIS PRONOUNCED CRIMINAC

REQUESTS THIS PROCEED WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF "ADDISORY COURT TO CARNT HIS IMOTION WHERETONE, DEFENDANT COUNSEL TRO SK

Respectedly SUBMITTED,

THEODORE R. BUNDY PRO SE 506 E. MAIN ST. ASPEN, COLORADO OF MARCH, 1977. DATED THIS

タたら THE COUNTY OF OF OF COLORADO IN THE DISTRICT COURT いなか 12x IN AND

CRIMINAL ACTION NUMBER-CIES

People of the STATE OF CRORADO, PLAINTIFF,

ORIDER

Z.

THEODORE R. BUNDY, DEFENDANT.

LAW IN AS DEN, DUES HEREBY ORDER THAT THE DEFENDANT,
THEODORE R. FUNDY, HE DERWITTED TO CONDUCT
HIS CASE DRO SE AND THAT CRURADO, is Appointed AS ADVISORY COUNSEL TO DEFENDANT. GSE, COMES NOW, THE COURT, AND WOON DEFENDANT IN THE ABOVE-CAPTIONED CONSIDERATION OF THE MOTION OF THE PRACTICING A PRIVATE ATTORNEY

District COURT JUDGE

FOR the COUNTY OF PITKIN IN THE DISTRICT COURT STATE OF COURAGO IN AND

CRIMINAL CASE NUMBER - CIGIS

STATE OF CRURADO, PRINTIFE, PEOPLE OF THE

THEODORE R. BUNDY,

DEFENDANT.

OF DEFENDANT'S MUTION to CONDUCT A PROSE AFFIDAVIT IN SURPORT DEFENSE WITH ADVISORY COUNSEL

FULL SWORN UPON OATH, STATE THAT THE FOLLOWING IS TRUE AND CORRECT TO THE KEST I, THEOLOGRE R. BUNDY, BEING FIRST OF MY KNOWLEDGE:

1. THAT IN THE ABOUT-ENTITUED CASE

Am tite DEFENDANT

RIGHT TO KNOWINGLY HEREBY WAIVE MY AND INTECLIGENTA 2. THAT I HEREBY KSISTANCE OF COUNSEL VOLUNTARILY

つて なたくかんなのま BY COUNSEL, I CHOOSE TO CONDUCT MY OWN DEFENSE IN GOOD FAITH AND IN ACCORDANCE いれたなだ THAT I WILL NEED ADVISORY LEGAL.
TO RENDER ASSISTANCE TO ME IN
YEAS OF PROPER IN INSTEAD OF REPRESENTATION SKILL, KNOWLEDUK THOSE AREAS OF s. THAT THAT WITH THE CAW かから H 220X coursel

5. THAT I SEEK THE COURT'S ORIDER INVESTIGATIVE RESOURCES TO ADVANCE IN GOOD FAITH, AND BELIEVE I AM ENTITLED TO IT. MY CAFENSE. GNY

DAY OF MARCH, 1900. DATED THIS

THEODORE R. BUNDY

STATE OF CHORADO, SE.

HEREBY CERTITY THAT THE TOREGOING KEROPE WE THIS DAY OF MARCH, 1970, BY THEODORE R. FUNDY, STATING THAT THE KEST OF HIS CONTAINED IN THE ABOUT AFFIDAVIT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED CHUCKUIT IS tRUE to the BELIEF KNOWLEDGE AND NFORMATION

my commission ExpiRES:

NOTARY PUBUC

requested in defendant Bundy's Motion is not required and in the course of if ordered and by the Constitution I, VI secured by Amendments their to Bundy and, deprive movants and the public of and to report events transpiring trial of the United States a denial of a fair proceedings, which rights are Constitution the State of Colorado. will to prevent discuss The relief Court, t t the in order criminal observe, by this XIV of

of presentation they be granted by this Court to participate in the proceedings in this action on cross-examination of witnesses and by way WHEREFORE, movants respectfully request that in camera, defendant Bundy's motion to proceed and briefs, presentation of evidence. argument of legal

Thomas B. Kelley (#1971) of YEGE, HALL & EVANS 1340 Denver Club Building Denver, Colorado 80202 573-5022 Attorney for John Rogers, The Denver Post, Inc. and Jim Kirksey

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

foregoing true copy of the March, 1977, to: day of mailed that I have I Motion, postage prepaid, I hereby certify

Charles G. Leidner, Esquire Deputy State Public Defender 310 Ninth Street Glenwood Springs, Colorado 81601

District Attorney 9th Judicial District Aspen, Colorado 81611

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN

STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C-1616

...

| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Plaintiff, . |) CDECTAIL ADDRAGE |
| vs. | AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND |
| THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY, |) MOTION TO PARTICIPATE) |
| Defendant. | |

in this motion defendant's pre. counsel presenting media, and witnesses news on οf undersigned purpose purpose of a.m. the cross-examining οĘ 00: the 9 representatives the at the for 1977 through for and 11, Camera, herein, participate, briefs, testimony March following Proceed In specially for and rebuttal set argument ç hearing move to appearing senting Motion legal only,

the Press of Colorado, Inc d/b/a KHOW Radio for Freedom of Broadcasting Inc. Broadcasting, In tion Centinel ss International Committee Electric Double Day Broad Grand Junction (United Press Int Reporters General

show: the movants herein therefor, grounds As

- hear-2 news Motion be the preliminary the 40 Ø order that 13 filed evidence defendant attending Court to where hearings 1977, the from 3, requesting barred other On February discussed public be all Camera and or i. any Inand presented and Proceed media ing
- to report the the news and of the hear, observe violation of unjustifiable freedom proceedings barring rights system, their system in and Fourteenth Amendment justice witness, an on constitute restraint justice criminal to in-camera public criminal prior would the the such in. ď public Sixth the and constitute proceedings Any of movants herein the First, 2 proceedings and further the report media on

Constitution Colorado. οf States State the United of the Constitution ç Amendments the of and Fourteenth 810 II Article and

witnesses motion defendant's specially cross-examine appearing the the hearing on briefs, the parties testimony and argument in rebuttal WHEREFORE, participate legal present submit t t move and to

HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN JOHN L. KANE, JR. #3109 JEFFREY A. CHASE #5203 Attorneys for Movants 1700 Broadway, Suite 1010 Denver, Colorado 80290 Telephone: 573-8000

OF COUNSEL:

Jack Landau, Esq. 1750 Pennsylvania Avenue N. W Washington, D. C. 20006

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

the foregoing Special Notice of Hearing were of February, 1977, and N copies certify that copie on to Participate wing on this 25th mailed to the following postage prepaid: and Motion hereby Н Appearance mailed to

Frank G. E. Tucker, Esq. District Attorney Ninth Judicial District 506 East Main Street Aspen, Colorado 81611

Charles G. Leidner, Esq.
Deputy State Public Defender
310 Ninth Street
Glenwood Springs, Colorado 81601

John L. Kane, Jr.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN

STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C-1616

| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------|
| Plaintiff,) | | | |
| vs. | NOTICE OF HEARING | OF HE? | ARING |
| THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY, | | | |
| Defendant.) | | | |

DEFENDER, 81601 ATTORNEY Street, ATE PUBLIC Colorado DISTRICT Main Stre THE HONORABLE FRANK G. E. TUCKER, DISTRINITH Judicial District, 506 East Main Aspen, Colorado 81611 and CHARLES G. LEIDNER, ESQ., DEPUTY STATE 310 Ninth Street, Glenwood Springs, Col . 10:

appear $_{be}$ argue you may shall t and where undersigned 1977 Participate when March that the on a.m. TAKE NOTICE advised 8:45 to at attached Motion are Court PLEASE Non the ล present before the

Dated this 25th day of February, 1977.

HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN JOHN L. KANE, JR. #3109 JEFFREY A. CHASE #5203 Attorneys for Movants 1700 Broadway, Suite 1010 Denver, Colorado 80290 Telephone: 573-8000 IN THE DISCRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN

AND STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C1616

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,

-78

THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY,

Defendant

MOTION TO PROCEED IN CAMERA

Hearing and any and all other hearings where evidence is to Court for an Order barring presented or discussed and as grounds therefore alleges as COMES NOW the Defendant by and through his Court the news media and public from attending the Preliminary this counsel and moves appointed lows:

previous if articles in the news media comparable great local community interest in the case, and there is great damage to the constitutional misleading if offered at trial and are highty suggestive, capital offense, there is publications included descriptions of things which may be The published. inflammatory, prejudicial and even inaccurate and already published are hereafter irreparable ec The crime charged is right of a fair trial potential for further inadmissible to those

proceeding in Camera during this critical period between the appears to future repetition, other In view of such past publications there realistic way to prevent and the trial advisement be no

and respectfully prays the Court other proper such and for necessary and requested deem may Defendant (C) Court relief this WHEREFORE, such rd m Order ralief An further enter

1977. February 40 day this submitted Respectfully

ROLLIE R. ROGERS Colorado State Public Defender CHARLES G. LEIDNER SCT 000520 Deputy State Public Defender 310 Ninth Street Glenwood Springs, Colorado 81601 945-5828

DATED:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

correct copy of the foregoing Motion was personally delivered to the District Attorney, in and for the 9th Judicial District, this day of February, 1977.

ii.

| Office of the District Attorney Str. Middie Str. Middie | F.B.I. No. F.B.I. No. Glasses No. | Natl. (1, S, | NOLLS Mittimus No. | Disposition | Judge (VENDT | | | Address 3214 N. 20 & IACO 2014 (2) 1456. State |
|--|--|--|---|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|--|
| L LOS ARREST REPORT | D.O.B. 11-24-4 ACOMA WAShuy FON. Eyes Blue Hair BIECX | Race CAUC. | Amputations A | Received from Charge // LIRDER, | al attention requ | | Address | Relation Frth & Diver's Lic.# NOAME |
| Case Number 75-0058 Case Number 75-0078 Crim. NAME: 31.1.1. Last | Alias: WCANT Residence: 3214 N. 20 # 1/8 Phy. Desc. HgrS'// Wgt. 170 | Build M∈D. Scars, Marks & Tattoos: N 37075 | Occupations: STUDENT S.S. No. 533-44-4655 | | 1-31-77 Time 08 | ed with: | Spouses name: Nict Mazrien | Chu Taudo |

Vehicle Towed to

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C-1616

| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, | | |
|--------------------------------------|-------|----|
| Plaintiff, | | |
| vs. | ORDER | ER |
| THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY, | | |
| Defendant. | | |

discussed. a hearing and any appears that the ruling on such motion may affect the interests at 9:00 a.m. that to order that the news media a Motion to all other hearings where evidence is to be presented or barred from attending the preliminary hearing schedule previously adopted by the Court, it is desirable will be held on such motion on February 28, 1977 filed Accordingly, defendant and that to be heard. 1977, the Court the news media and the public 3 On February requesting opportunity Ø 40 public be Pursuant In

Court will entertain written motions such motion the moving participate in the hearing on the Motion to Proceed only, the media party (e.g., presentation of legal argument and briefs participation desired by Each examination of witnesses, presentation of evidence). counsel. interested representatives of curiae, through their ORDERED THAT the specify the nature of any TS amici ΟĽ IJ ន public to on behalf should Camera

copy Proceed In KSNO, The Post, mail a Denver IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the clerk shall copy of the Motion to Aspen Times, The Aspen Journal, KSPN, The Grand Junction Sentinel, The together with a order, Post, to The Glenwood Camera,

and the CBS and NBC affiliates in Denver, Colorado, The Associated Press, United Press International Colorado Association of Broadcasters. the ABC, Rocky Mountain News,

available IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT a copy of this order and the Motion to Proceed In Camera shall be posted in a public place in the clerk's office and that copies of such documents be made them. without charge to all who request

day of this Done

BY THE COURT:

Judge

District

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN

AND STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C

ARREST WARRANT

COLORADO: OF STATE THE Q OFFICERS PEACE

the peen before facts has be that made relating n offense e cause th dayundersigned District Court Judge an affidavit sufficient to establish probable cause that an committed and sufficient to establish probable THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY committed that offense.

ave his nearest of body YOU, that you take the body keep him so that you have assary delay before the nead County of Pitkin, to be d WE THEREFORE COMMAND YOU, th THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY and safely keep h body forthwith and without unnecessary Judge of a court of record in the Count with according to law. whose the person warrant an upon this serve copy of Fisher. 40 رط م • ORDERED s warrant Michael J FURTHER this t of M ordered by the affidavit ARE YOU arrest is o. copy of the

this day Bail for the person whose arrest is ordered by this warrant is set in the amount of \$25,000\$, and if such person makes the required bail before he can be brought without unnecessary delay before a judge of a court of record in Pitkin County, it is ordered that the person arrested by virtue of this warrant and making such bail, appear before the County Court in inty Court the Sourt do Colorado, hour O F appear State o the such bail, of Pitkin, Pitkin, 1976, and making the County for and

person whose n t revision warrant the to x this oy this arrest warrant for swarrant shall be subject a arrest is ordered by this of a court of record. set by this this whose judge o is ordered by the the person wheelere and ۲. ا arrest is the time appears

| October , 1976. | 1 to be | Dlang-19 det | Judge | |
|-----------------|---------|--------------|-------|---|
| J O | | A | | |
| day of | | | | |
| 2/ | | | \ | |
| the | | | | 9 |
| This. | | | | |
| | | | | |

STATE OF COLORADO COUNTY OF PITKIN

RETURN

ន

within BUNDY the duly executed the green reports Theorem I have du arresting OF day that by 5 certify t t warrant on the arrest | foregoing arrest reguired therein hereby Н and នួ

Peace Officer

STATE OF COLORADO COUNTY OF PITKIN

SS.

AFFIDAVIT FOR ARREST WARRANT

Criminal Chief all of at the State and am 1974 District, Н 1, J. Fisher February Judicial is Michael since Ninth employed the My name affidavit. ¥0 SO Investigator this to

- closed. the that the at she entered 12 January elevator elevator Dr Eilene return to Inn fiance, Pitkin Hills, had 8:00 2nd related O.F Wildwood in Caryn On when they doors in the the her the and to immediately day and iocated the Campbell's Farmington following information. that Was entered on where 13th p.m. further of the disappearance located ŗţ Baldridge Inn states 7:00 the さ that Restaurant Campbel1 the East, Gadowski Caryn Wildwood on returned the Wildwood Inn between room Dr. Gadowski and that again after from William magazine interviewed Gateway Drive empty their their return, Miss investigate the Stewpot the Dr. states Campbel1 to me the Was 40 to Gadowski. ď affiant go Campbel1 respond elevator obtain the Inn. Н to call Caryn 27600 date from Wildwood elevator Gadowski related 40 Your 40 Inn to received a rejoin Dr. and saw Caryn On that the Gadowski, staying nodn returned to Office Colorado, Gadowski elevator, the the Wildwood Immediately S Campbell. 1975, he lobby of lobby to entering Raymond Dr. he
- that elevator Yoders p.m.d the 26, Would the exit 6:30 February told she Colorado that approximately familiar, that Campbell uo that and Denver, were Miss a magazine states they the Wildwood Inn. Yoder, affiant further whom get Leon Campbell, with to to your affiant room & Mrs. lobby, to her Your floor of related the Mr Caryn interviewed going ately Yoder's the 2nd on
- Inn ass investigation Wildwood well ช the Campbell extensive in staying Caryn that of people states associates a11 further 40 Campbell's picture with known affiant interviews Your 3 Miss

witnesses January leaving other p.m. on no her 6:30 uncovered met approximately the Yoders 5 197 after 12, room at January Campbel1 her o F week to Miss go

- to the the Wildwood Campbel1 p.m. above 10:00 of the of Caryn the lobby that approximately dissappearnce states t t return further at Office failed to Gadowski reported the affiant Ŋ Sheriff! she Your after County 4. 1975,
- affiant ∞ Creek N disappeared 17, 197 Your female uo date, states that on February found Ow1 dead from where Caryn Campbell On that o F had been Ŋ side view south personally summit. body the Q him that Sinclair Divide on further dia found Inn and informing affiant Wildwood location Was the and Your call said the nude West of Q Of 5. Was 40 received E. just responded he
- and aforementioned Morgue Ø Coroner' Mortuary Howard the the Denver after viewing Whitmire. 40 to Howard transported in. Sheriff Carrol transported personnel 1975, body February 17, the The body was contacted have accompanied by ţ, o affiant arrangements 9 Colo. personally Your
- weather personally personally Court Whitmire back Dr. Donald M. when this affiant has Was Sheriff exhibit informs Denver he blows exposure that affiant has personally spoken to in. aforementioned body by 8 Your and death was attached hereto Mortuary affiant Clark Colorado. with Your of the autopsy report by Dr. οf combined Howard cause t Littleton, at Clark has related the object 18, 1975, on the Clark of Dr. West Davies, blunt performed to Dr. Whitmire autopsy report Your February with according 7 seen a copy Sheriff
- sona Campbell charts Dearborn, items with Campbell' dental time Caryn the Ave., these girl, the said between Caryn and 15600 Michigan personally gave the missing carried from 1975, that obtained January 12, and Whitmire states o¥0 D.D.S., he he further X-rays that 1975, dissappeared on Whitmire Stanley McBride, dental states affiant 17, February and Carrol further Your charts Campbel1 to on affiant Dr. X-rays discovered dental Caryn and

comparing Street Your on the body, affiant body. after aforementioned 2045 opinion that Your and X-rays report from Dr. told the dental work D.D.S. (See has Richard H. Mentzer, a written report is his unqualified dental charts of the Whitmire Campbell. dental work and the X-rays with Denver. Eilene Exhhibit "B") the personally viewed compared ŗ. Dr. in the Caryn that Mortuary οĘ 1975, fact hereto as with that Denver, states charts February 18, is in has 806, dental Campbel1 affiant

- Wildwood name receiving H the states suspect Bundy, of Colorado. all 47 jurisdictions, several After Ç states in the that Miss picture ç three Theodore Robert Bundy. state disappeared affiant's well as obtained a photograph of containing said in those various apparent a11 the ın in. Your 1975, as 12, 1975. females who connections others it became O.F course photographic lineup January 12, guests on January ช authorities suspect was as well Campbell several suspect with the your affiant During as of and Utah communicating with description of of Caryn said employees a possible Inn ൻ Washington this name, presented Wildwood W
- photograph staying presented Sheriff's Dept the lineup your affiant, Was Theodore Bundy was Ø City, Utah, this against including Mrs. Harter late affiant personally affiant showed Mrs. After viewing stated, the person viewing her photograph is done Mrs. in County inquired of inSalt Lake seven photographs Harter of and She is Harter. The lineup lineup in which inquiry The Bundy Salt Lake Mrs. the individuals. 12, 1975. 1976, your in the lineup. This photograph was taken Robert Bundy and Lesbeth lineup, Theodore Robert inquiry, your Upon with measurements on it which allows the 4 0 lineup. said Robert Bundy to a Mrs. 4-1 O photographic lineup consisting the Wildwood Inn on January elevator." actual January 9, Thompson height of After viewing personally viewed the After this people pointed to photograph of an Theodore the on Jerry ω determine the of λq 10. of is he?" Harter Bundy is

strange

floor below the Wildwood that one seen suspect Bundy stated lobby, O.F She further Inn, one floor above the between 6:00 and 6:15 p.m. on January 12, 1975. floor lst learned that Mrs. Harter had on the seen. last elevator Was Wildwood Campbel1 Bundy walk past the Caryn

- Utah Sheriff's the from that he has personally been in on 1-12-75, Of the elevator City, Utah. University County contact with Detective Jerry Thompson of the Salt Lake to your affiant that Salt Lake Caryn Campbell disappeared was enrolled in the saw near 565 First Ave. #2, Harter that Mrs. states stated Your affiant Robert Bundy Det. Thompson has resided at 11. and same Theodore School which
- evident Theodore Robert person credit Utah. in his On on January Chevron in Glenwood for information information: the investigation, he obtained information that Theodore Robert Bundy the same at Beckstead Chevron in Salt Lake City, Theodore Robert Bundy purchased gas with his to July, of Theodore Robert Bundy and has with this OF Your affiant states that most direct suspect Springs, Colorado. course affiant signed by similar to and differ in no is that of at Aspen 1974, personally viewed times between Aspen, Colo. The credit card receipts provide the following gas Furthermore, on January 13, 1975, the Your Oil Company card from December 31, Theodore Robert Bundy purchased Green River, Utah, is on the that during Adairs slips card. in Snowmass signature Glenwood card å sample signature credit Chevron affiant has gas. Your affiant states Chevron Credit sample Utah. being suspect Bundy purchased in Company the Chevron in Green River, These signatures are The ลู several said states that he inquired of Your Thompson. identified by Mrs. Harter separate times Chevron Oil affiant from a o £ at Grant-Clayton to this inquiry, copies of 1975, travelled and that any use Colorado. 1975, 12. 12, Jerry gas possessed a 10, possession concerning City, Utah, three personally purchased to Your Bundy. card

Bundy

14, 1975, suspect

January

On

between Salt Lake and Aspen.

Salt Lake City,

at Beckstead Chevron in

gas

obtained vehicle 11: the vehicle registration information as pertaining to the and was registered in that he stated the the he said inquiry. of affiant states that Furthermore, the vehicle was given by a11 states states that on state and in fact in the State of Utah. affiant license number result of 1968 Volkswagon, VIN #118731185 Your Your further Q plate. 8 the Washington plate IBH-521. information affiant license purchase receipts, Bundy. 24 aforementioned following Theodore for OF ď plate out

- and found search have never Aspen Was Ŋ that during section on that while asked "no, I the Wildwood personally another matter, Thompson for western Colorado, and Bundy replied him related guide contained a he has and Thompson has related to guide that discussed Thompson skier guide that states state". Furthermore, that said Theodore Robert Bundy on Bundy's apartment, a affiant Aspen, said states Your the portion of Jerry Thompson ever been in further been in that suspect Thompson gating he had
- affiant during sample These themselves the 1968 Volkswagon seizure, Your and Lt. Baldridge stated to him that aforementioned Theodore Robert Bundy, from Miss Jerry Thompson has several hairs were obtained from the Bundy vehicle. D.C. pursuant to a search warrant unrelated to the Campbell possible stated to F.B.I. Laboratory in Washington, said vehicle. taken taken after to obtain any further samples were were 1975, further states that from various parts of the that Det. samples Thompson 15, October car in order hair the autopsy on Caryn Campbell, hair states contain. on these Whitmire affiant that owned by the Utah. He that said sent to the might affiant Your states that Sheriff personally vacuumed hairs were obtained stated vehicle Salt Lake City, your #118731185 14. fact subsequently further said H. seized

Laboratory that the OF same F.B.I. the head affiant from Thompson has stated to your sent to the obtained from the Bundy vehicle were hairs the t t comparison Detective possible obtained

- caucasion received taken from the Bundy indistinguishable that affiant further states that he has personally contacted Mr. Neil and 1976, he another stated οĘ Bundy vehicle as well as from the trunk of the Bundy vehicle. above characteristics, that 6 belonged the on February related to your affiant that the hairs the Laboratory, a report microscopically and front floor mat in floor mat or Campbel1 states that identical microscopic were the to Caryn contact with the F.B.I. Campbel1 from the affiant belonged Caryn Your o F obtained indirect that had vehicle. Robert Neil J O either 15 Mr. Neil has with hair direct or
- hair O cide pubic indistinguishable said Melissa Smith was a homi pubic Bundy vehicle was direct Detective that in the STate of Utah in 1974. had person affiant who Smith. microscopically the Bundy vehicle. identical microscopic characteristics caucasion stated to your further stated that the pubic hair taken from the from a Melissa Ø stated to your affiant that that of Was further οĘ Bundy vehicle pubic hair which was taken recovered or contact with the trunk Smith Robert Neil of Melissa body was the from 16. exhibits victim whose Thompson has that indirect
- the Thompson as well as Deputy District Attorney David Yocum have related 1974. (See with affiant the DaRonch incident). Carol DaRonch. contact with hair another head hair taken from somewhere in the Bundy vehicle DaRonch was a kidnap victim on November 8, further related to your had vehicle was person characteristics who that of a caucasion the Bundy for a further discussion of from another indistinguishable from Robert Neil has microscopic from the hair taken OL DaRonch exhibits identical microscopically that Miss 17. paragraph 19 that stated me Bundy to
- has affiant 5 thousand that 1963 Your affiant since to t t び stated approximately F.B.I. to your Furthermore, Neil the related for ecompassed comparison further microscopic hair comparisons. has Neil hair this Robert doing microscopic that stated 18.

belong Nei1 been microscopically Finally compari several taken from thousand suspect and multiple victims. these of have from multiple unknown hairs case out t t the victims 4 these first of hundred the of known hairs case is involved cases with one several suspect. stinguishable this least to the multi stated

person 23, 1976 the testimony of and 8, 1974, Miss DaRonch strike stated above in paragraph 17, Detective Thompson the following facts, Ø Miss DaRonch February above. Bundy stated that Bundy was unsuccessfull in his attempt to Volkswagon Further, Miss had a chance related to your discussed volkswagon, your affiant has personally viewed a transcript of On November on Lake City District Court on Robert City crowbar. colored Lake your affiant ลร as Theodore attempted to place a handcuff on Miss DaRonch. that Theodore Robert Bundy, before she subsequently able to escape. in said as identified by Mrs. Harter ๙ light said testimony relates the following. Salt Deputy District Afterney David Yocum have the head with that while ർ victim in entering court related to in DaRonch given in Salt strike her on a kidnap into identified testified they have enticed As Was she was person further DaRonch Was DaRonch DaRonch stated

DaRonch on the vehicle which Miss DaRonch positively identified was vehicle used on August 1975 on November when gas was purchased in Glenwood Springs on January 12, affiant offense present crowbar. of the that Detective Thompson has related to your traffic that Was that used by Bundy stated containing handcuffs and a personally is the same VIN Number as Ø Thompson for arrested seized, he Furthermore, said vehicle was first Was Was satchel Bundy vehicle 1975. This when he 13, Q The VIN # 1975, was

and that mentioned incident, kidnap this same individual as degree i, degree kidnap first of convicted Robert Bundy, the second Was for affidavit sentenced Theodore this he was throughout

fifteen according to Thompson and Yocum, is presently serving one to Utah STate Prison. the in years

State of Colorado. Based on the foregoing facts, your affiant has probable cause the arrest of Theodore crime of first as amended. from the District Court of Pitkin County, 18-3-102, commit the issue for degree murder in violation of C.R.S. 1973, to believe that Theodore Robert Bundy did a Warrant Therefore, I request that Robert Bundy

SS State of Colorado County of Pitkin day of to before me this Subscribed and sworn

Quode

.)

AUTOPSY REPORT

Carryn CAMPEELL

Clark, Performed Donald

> County None opsy: 2-18-75 ffice, Pitkin 23 years of Death: No f Autor Age: 2 Date o Coroner's Stated Ag Stated Da M-27-75 Of Date

안 SUMMARY

- upper and head, neck, destruction) moderate itissues -Decomposition, Destruction of (consistent with B.
- skul - scalp, posterior posterior fossa of with blunt trauma) Lacerations Fracture - p (consistent 3

SUMMARY OF LEATH: The determination of disease processes and possible trauma was hampered in this woman by the decomposition and the apparent animal destruction, in particular to the head and neck. The only determination possible as regards the neck was that the only determination possible fractured or dislocated. On the head there were areas of destruction on the posterior scalp and the skull under this consistent with blunt trauma; additionally, there was a slit in the left ear lobe consistent with a laceration. The mentioned changes in the head are of such nature as to be of sufficient degree to be a possible cause of death; particularly if not treated and combined with exposure (e.g. a nude body in a cold environment).

The level of barbiturate found was not of a level to be a cause of death and the remaining body organs showed no disease processes or no definite areas of trauma, in particular the sexual organs showed no trauma. The presence of acid phosphatase in the vagina would only indicate probable intercourse a short time prior to death or after death; no definitive proof in the form of as of destruc-consistent the left ear anges in the ined in possible re not of A

exual the processes or no definite area organs showed no trauma. The vagina would only indicate proprior to death or after death spermatozoa were found.

body head, 40 ited due to probable to the hea limited most pro trauma was somewhat findings the e to blunt tra sed on the find injury due to found, the an octor n summary, the ction but base th was brain i destructiof death

AUTOPSY REPORT

CAMPBELL, Caryn E.

Performed by Donald M. Clark, M.D

a t body was first seen by me in the A.W. of 2-18-75, the Denver Coroner's Morgue, the body unidentified at resent at that time and during the autopsy were Whitmire and Det. Sgt. W. A. Baldridge of the Pitkin t. At this time the body was in a crash bag, was sed to some degree, partially frozen and partially animals particularly in the head and neck area. psy was begun at 5:00 P.M. on 2-18-75, in Howard enver, Colorado. Present during the autopsy were b. Sheriff Whitmire, Dr. W. B. Galloway and Dr. D.D.S. At this time a provisional identification sthat of Caryn E. Campbell was made by Dr. Mentzer al patterns and by the above persons present, based haracteristics. Autopsy completed at 8:30 P.M. on Lowey an identi.

by Dr pres body was resent at Whitmire Sheri Sheri D.D.S. patt Mortuary in L. Sgt. Baldridge, SJR. H. Wentzer D.D.D. of the body as the Lagon dental proced on dental procedon dental procedo s Dept. composed d by anin autopsy in Derve The born the Pres that time. P Sheriff C. D. Sheriff's Dep nude, decompo destroyed by The auto n phys: SUMMARY

omewha This is the nude, cold, partially decomposed, lax body of what appears to have been a white female, age estimated at mid twentles. Height 5'5', weight 100 lbs. The body shows very extensive artifactual distortion and is still partially frozan. There is ice around the public area; the abdominal wall has almost solid of the body was frozan solid. In the intervening hours, the body has frozan solid. In the intervening hours, the pretar part, that the flesh of the head, the face, the ear regions, the greater portions of the neck down onto the chest (essentially the suprasternal area down on the shoulders and down over the back to include both scapulae) has been removed. In these areas, it is almost totally skeletonized. This change is particularly prominent over the scapulae and the cervical vertebra which shows little remains for the most part other than the frontal area where it is absent. The eyes are absent from the orbits; the nasal bones have been arcide. The soft tissue around the mouth has been removed; however, the dentition is intact, in good condition showing evidence of fillings. The left lower second molar has been rether irregularly shattered or chipped. The remaining skin shows blotching, greenish, reddish, as well as but either postmortem or prenortem. The skin has a rather tissue paper appearance. There are venous markings over the abdomen and the legs and on the arms there is linear destruction of the skin in many planes. The left hand and fingers show extensive decomposition which has progressed to a dark brownish-red in that it is tending to leatherize. The palmar surfaces, however, remain somewhalling to leatherize. The palmar surfaces, however, remain somewhallings. blue en poo 0

orbit, tissue , hyoid the absent, the or y, the soft tis is no tongue, t only within t e eyes abs r empty, t there is sent presung The eyebrows and the e cavities essentially e mouth is absent, and thoold. The trachea is preprious of the right lung 0 AMINATION 4 감 0 EX nd a d H GENERAL about bone, chest.

Caryn

M.D ark, C by Performed Donald M

also been removed. The described areas of destruction of tissue are consistent with damage by animals. The breasts have 1½ inch across brownish protruding nipples. The breast tissue is unremarkable. The chest is of unremarkable contour and the abdomen is not distended. No scars are noted; however, there are vertical striae across the lower abdomen both left and right. Pubic hair is pale brunette, the labia are unremarkable. Examination of the introitus shows no damage, with no evidence of bruising or destruction of the mucosa. The mucosa is intact, intriotal area admits two fingers; the vagina is well preserved and is unremarkable, no foreign bodies being noted. The anus is unremarkable, mucosa intact, no evidence of bruising or destruction; at this time, it is somewhat dilated due to decomposition.

. W O d e ar penaj pele eet s व भ भ ve he Ø nails. they haves of the 는 다 the or; t are dare The no as care lsh. W nt 1 isl preser e well l poli 4.4 na. No. S er, ES: The digits of the hand somewhat silver, dunremarkable. D s s(EXTREMITIE sh pinki: intaci

N 4H OH he skin shows very extensive areas of mottling, discolconsistent with decomposition as well as the areas consistent with animal destruction of the head and The skin otherwise appears to have been Gaucasian, cifying marks are noted. No rings are present on the consistent on the constant of the constant on the constant of the constant of the constant on the constant of The sk tion c ction clers. I destruct.
shoulders.
and no ident
hands.
Areas c

म् विश्व is of apparent trauma are noted as follow:

le right thigh, the lateral surface, irregular areas of ation, somewhat bruising, linear associated with fine scrits on the skin. This extends from the lateral right thigh the posterior thigh where there are irregular linear somewhat scrape-like with associated bluish-green discolution approximately an inch. These cover a total area of for approximately an inch. These cover a total area of since areas of deep destruction are noted on cutting into Areas of a discoloration, type marks on t around onto the

SY

Caryn

erformed Donald P

T .4 D R BRAIN: The brain surface is unremarkable and there is no evidence able contour. On cut surface, it has a soft mushy appearance; however, the corticomedullary tissue shows no evidence of destruction, hemorrhage or old scarring. In the right occipital area extending from just above the tentorium down across the midportion of the right posterior fossa and extending over to the right maste area is an irregular area fracturing without any degree of separation or of depression. The upper end of this extends up to but not under the described breaks in the scalp and extends over to the right mastoid area which is almost totally removed as if it had been destroyed by animals, (the mastoid bone irregularly removed in this area). This is a fine fracture without any hemorr or appearent change in the underlying right cerebellar hemisphere. The upper cord is unremarkable. Gervicel vertebral attachments appear unremarkable and no fleshy tissue remains for examination. 40 evidenc an unrer rrance; f dest arf O ort w ort

rag re re re re D S A C+ 00 C • cough the usedipose tis Lungs at tearenchyme. Or bronchi P40 D) H "CJ * M of e H e e Chest and abdomen are opened to to reveal approximately 2 cm. of age with unremarkable musculature. ed, are pink and have unremarkable almost totally removed and the mable. The thymus is not identified at anthracotic. SDOMEN:
cision to
rib cage v
llapsed, s
been alm
arkable.
mewhat ar CHEST AND ABD Y-shaped inciand intact ritime are coll trachea has bappear unrerandes are som

ium H card card card the the myor Endoc and the unreman normel, The ss Lst of nor size. cknes intac clear, heart of unremarkable si nremarkable thic ble, septa are i ial sac essentially clars to have been of unit appears to have unrest. Valves unremarkable surremarkable contains no thrombi. Pericardial and appears uposed but ap changes. Varieries uny artery con compos no ch ary ar is decomp shows no coronary Pulmonary HEART

Fi 00 ·--かけみの QH. Stomach culate of vege le matter fragment of inta to a on owe UPH unremarkable. Sto mi-liquid particul O cc., consists of green vegetable m re large white fra large fragments of eerish appearance, t, apparently milk a.0) cout, oughc ole throst srkable. unremer emarkable unremark and is ur lagus is unre vith semi-lasures 400 cos red and gre There are lait and larguewhat greeri 40 17 gr ll bowel unrem present and u t this time an h no evidence this food matter measure distended with yellow and red; the red the whole of this has a somewhat nother and meat.

In waterial consistent with milk the whole of this has a somewhat nother and meat.

In unremarkable. Small bowel with miters and meater. Appendix presers no fecal matter at the whole with matter at the matter at the whole with matter at the matter. latter.

S, green, yestent with stent with stenial for material for whole The wholinclude a tables, consiste of soft meat. I does inc vegetabl Pylorus no solid contains RO GAST food

on -1 ct rkabl LIVER

d d tig .4 CT 19 0 0 O O Ö d O O ·HH 019 contains les ; no calcul nunremarkab in he WITH d a > O HAH wallowishortal yend Th d

REPORT AUTOPSY

CJ erformed Donald

en be Φ hav appear autolyzed, totally Almost PANCREAS

cut and surface on rka Unr

section cut and surface OU both changes unremarkable NO NO show and Small ar Ureters KIDNEY

unrema Mucosa present urine 0 F time; Ø thi at apsed. Coll BLADDER

S cut O U and surface ovar. brous and unremarkable ize S Φ 디 ALLA Urremarka GENIL INTERNAL SPI,EEN

ous adhesions on the left ovaries, fallopian tubes of The uterus is not nunremarkable. Cervix no evidence of trauma or are fine fibrous however, the ove se unremarkable. 1. Endometrium u no side; hare a side; hare otherwise symmetrical. are is sand rus 68 ه دړ 12 H G bod: and the ut enlarged; unremerkab

SH W pear (C) (C) (J) the ribs a show some ing tissues the pubic c bones, the vertebra and the The organs of the abdomen show much less than the remaining to evidence of trauma about the The pelvic intact. I however, n 0 sition, Particula S CTURE ombos STRU 90 There BONY d

AMINATION

- Ď, one and a.bove one ss. left east, acros
- 3/8 d dline the of ight bread sine to
- somewhat stent with long, inch S , a 8 'G ٠٦ GF. enc a a al promine white are Markings:

 1. Two small nevi on the righ nipple, approximately 1/8

 2. In the middle back, 1-2 in inch across brown nevus.

 3. Over the left occipital problique, well healed, white an old scar. olique, wel n old scar.

e in col present slit us with nest f tl and end हुं मु s a t.
it is con.
skin in this
pinstrument. The
re of fracturing of
are extensive ?
int also ext. area The I ext ark brunette ir rnal ear is pre transverse sli is continuous v in in this area and is a dark brace left external enthere is a transition in a sharp instrument evidence of fradestruction are enthe right che lobe; th hair has a slight wave, is long, a right ear is totally absent. The on the lower surface of the lobe to almost bisects the lower lobe; te slit over the left mastoid, that ch remains is slit as if cut with a eitself is intact and there is no a. The areas of apparent animal delude not cally the shoulder region a long, a with is no region Lae and H. scapulae ion of the e sperent shoulder the shoulder the scapu reas of rily the c below reater p , A not bac right area. on down thinclude ha: The ha The ri and on which fine s bone

1 M-27 ed be11 C MICROS

ssue ng on shovi secti ime one 4 s H thi skin, at ve changes at the skictive act rea breaks and no small c There are autolysis യ ശ bland

AUTOPSY REPORT

CAMPBELL, Caryn E.

Performed by Donald M. Clark, M.D

MICROSCOPIC, W-27-75 (Cont.

some, however, show rather and found to be non-remarkable een, adrenal, pancreas, ovary, endometrium, cervix Sections in general are well preserved; some, extensive autolysis. Sections examined and foare as follows: Heart, lung, liver, spleen, a kidney, stomach, small and large bowel, ovary, and brain are non-revealing.

TOYICOLOGY

Blood Alcohol - Negative Berbiturate - Positive (low level Drug Screen - Negative

Smears:

Acid Phospha Negative Positive Sperm Negative Negative Anal Vagina

5 3-18-75 g Kier by пe 40 Communicated

R. H. MENTZER,

Telephones 255-2509

1975 21 February

(M·27) Campbell Caryen of body the of Dental Examination

Findings:

- . Whitmire right in deceased's teeth match up, supplied by Sheriff Carroll D. fillings placed in the lower after the x-rays were taken. 1) Charting of restorations fectly with those of x-rays s They also correlate with the molars by the former dentist
- than higher being left the with impacted wisdom teeth as in supplied x-rays. Lower 2) the
- was seemed present left lower left No decay of the cusp o 3) The distal buccal cufractured off below the this tooth.

x-rays supplied ays and is, x-rays dental the denuth on th With up based is my unqualified opinion basme that the deceased matches leed, Caryen Campbell. indeed, 110

Mentze Richard H.

County Pitken Sheriff Carrol R. H. Mentzer, Don Clark, M. D :00

RHM/jmh

HIIIIII -IIIIII-Him -||||||| |||||||

STATE OF COLORADO COUNTY OF PITKIN

) ss. AFFIDAVIT FOR

ARREST

relevant Chief a11 of at the and am 1974 Judicial District, Н Fisher. 7 since February р • Michael of the Ninth ٠٠١ ي employed name affidavit. 000

- closed the that Inn to obtain a magazine and to immediately return to the Drive East, Farmington Hills, Michigan 2nd floor of dinner. related to me January elevator doors in the elevator On that date I interviewed Caryn Campbell's fiance, Dr. p.m. she the Pitkin 8:00 they had day of Caryn Wildwood W on on when the return, Miss Campbell entered the her and located related to me the following information. their room located on of states that it was 13th further 7:00 p.m. staying from the Stewpot Restaurant where O F investigate the disappearance the call from William Baldridge Inn affiant states that on the that 40 saw Caryn Campbell again after the Dr. Gadowski the Wildwood returned to the Wildwood Inn between Gadowski empty and Caryn Campbell Dr. 27600 Gateway go to 4 the elevator was rejoin Dr. Gadowski. respond Wildwood Inn. to upon their elevator 40 Your 40 received a and Raymond Gadowski, Office Colorado, 1975, Gadowski returned elevator, lobby of the Wildwood Wildwood the Gadowski Immediately Campbell. he Dr.
- 1976, 26, February that on states & Mrs. Leon Yoder, affiant further Your interviewed Mr.

they Yoders p.m. exit the she would the 6:30 told Yoder's related to your affiant that approximately familiar, that Campbell and Campbell, with whom they were Miss magazine of the Wildwood Inn. Ø get 40 room the lobby. her to on the 2nd floor to observed Caryn going immediately Was

investigation 8 the Caryn Campbell extensive in staying that of people states associates a11 further 40 showing Miss Campbell's picture interviews with known Your affiant

that 12, other witnesses January leaving the p.m. on met her uncovered no 6:30 Yoders approximately 1975, the after January 12, at room Campbel1 her of to saw Miss week t

- Inn the above mentioned 1975, after she failed to return to the lobby of the Wildwood Caryn Campbell to 10:00 p.m. Your affiant further states that approximately οĘ reported the dissappearnce Sheriff's Office at Gadowski County Doctor 12,
- affiant φ. Owl Creek female body 1975 Campbell disappeared Your states that on February 17, Creek a body had been found on On that date, Ow1 view a dead side of where Caryn location and did personally on the south summit. informing him that the Sinclair Divide from further found Inn Your affiant Wildwood W and was call the to said The body was nude just west of received a of N.E responded he
- and after viewing the aforementioned Coroner's Morgue Mortuary Mortuary to have the body transported to Howard in the Denver accompanied by Sheriff Carrol Whitmire. Howard 40 transported contacted personnel 17, 1975, body was February The g arrangements affiant 9 Colo. personally Your Denver,
- zero weather personally personally Court blows to the back this when affiant has Donald qns affiant has personally spoken to Sheriff affiant that he was exhibit informs Denver 2 Dr. with exposure in ช byYour and death was body hereto Mortuary Clark Colorado. aforementioned attached Your combined of the autopsy report by Dr. cause of February 18, 1975, at Howard Sheriff Whitmire has related to Littleton, Clark Clark the the head with a blunt object on the Dr. West Davies, autopsy report of to Dr. performed Your according autopsy was 1815 copy present on seen a that of
- February 17, 1975, he obtained from Caryn Campbell's persona MI Campbell charts the that Ave., Dearborn, with gave these dental time Caryn and the body items further states that between the girl, said Stanley McBride, D.D.S., 15600 Michigan carried missing 1975, personally Whitmire and Whitmire of the January 12, further states that he X-rays dissappeared on dental affiant charts and Carrol Your Campbell to discovered on affiant dentist, Dr. X-rays dental and

Street comparing Your Franklin dental work on the body, affiant body. of Dr. X-rays of the dental work of the aforementioned his unqualified opinion that 2045 your report Dr. Mentzer, D.D.S. to1d from charts and (See Whitmire has report Campbell. the X-rays with the a written dental Richard H. Denver. in fact Caryn Eilene hereto as Exhhibit "B") compared the ٦. در personally viewed that it Dr. Mortuary in 4H 0 charts and 1975, that Denver, states 18, Howard Campbell with . S affiant has dental

- Wildwood the name H Campbel1 investigation photograph of suspect Bundy, Colorado. 44 0 to all those various jurisdictions, as to several After states the apparent that Miss said picture in. O.F three was Theodore Robert Bundy. state several females who disappeared affiant's well 911 others in the lineup containing in 1975, as Your Inn guests on January 12, 1975. connections Campbell it became of Ŋ 占 obtained course of January 12, with authorities Utah as well as suspect with the a photographic suspect affiant During Caryn description of said employees as name, your Washington and communicating possible of presented Wildwood
- this photograph staying Ø or Lake County Sheriff's Dept. with measurements on it which allows the person viewing the lineup 4018 showed Mrs. Harter affiant, Mrs. Harter was Theodore Bundy was city, Utah, lineup is done against late 9, 1976, your affiant personally ď the height of the individuals. After viewing Your held stated, The photograph She is in her Mrs. Lake photographic lineup consisting of seven photographs inquired of Harter O.F Bundy and Mrs. Lesbeth Harter. affiant inSalt inguiry lineup in which Mrs. Inn on January 12, 1975. of Theodore Robert Bundy and the Salt This photograph was taken said lineup, people in the lineup. The After this inquiry, your Theodore Robert Upon personally viewed the lineup. 44 0 an actual Thompson Q On January viewing Robert Bundy to t t pointed the large photograph of Jerry After of 8 ρλ in the Wildwood 10. determine one Detective tall is he?" Harter early 50's. Bundy is Mrs.

below floor the Wildwood that one suspect Bundy on stated the lobby, the OF further 6:15 p.m. on January 12, 1975. 1st floor floor above seen She had the seen. Harter Inn, one on last elevator that Mrs. Was of the Wildwood Campbel1 the 6:00 and past learned Caryn walk affiant

- University of 565 First Ave. #2, Salt Lake City, Utah. elevator Your affiant states that he has personally been County saw near the that Lake in the Salt affiant Caryn Campbell disappeared was enrolled the Harter Your contact with Detective Jerry Thompson of to t that Mrs. stated Robert Bundy has and resided at Thompson 11. Theodore Det. School
- evident provided Robert person Utah, S this credit LO states that he has Glenwood 1975, suspect Bundy the following information: City, information same Theodore respects with his Robert Bundy Salt to July, Theodore Robert Bundy most direct the Salt Lake Colo. and on Colorado suspect Theodore Robert Bundy purchased gas with H. Your affiant course no 12, 1975, Theodore Robert Bundy purchased gas signed by signature is that of Aspen Chevron 31, 1974, viewed for City, differ in information that Theodore that during the نه کړ 14, 1975, the Chevron Oil Company Springs, Your affiant times between Aspen, Chevron in on the has personally Salt Lake at Adairs Furthermore, on January 13, slips Snowmass card from December Company credit card. and ผ οĘ Glenwood January card receipts provide Green River, Utah, Ç sample signature **Beckstead** gas Beckstead Chevron in 'n similar gas in Green River, Utah. samble states Credit being purchased affiant on in Grant-Clayton Chevron several The are S S times at Aspen. Your affiant Chevron of obtained use of said identified by Mrs. Harter this inquiry, your signatures Jerry Thompson. Bundy a Chevron Oil inquired card from a and travelled City, Utah, and that Of separate Colorado. Lake copies he January 10, 1975, suspect credit a t affiant any investigation, that he 12. These alt personally card three January concerning possession 1975, purchased possessed between card at by Det. to your states Due to on

aforementioned vehicle said signor as pertaining to The ir that he stated the registered the he said inquiry. of that Was states a11 given by Furthermore, the vehicle that on states Was Utah. Your affiant information and number result of State of affiant states Volkswagon, VIN #118731185 license Your state and in fact in the further vehicle registration information as a plate. the IBH-521. affiant license receipts, Bundy. plate Your aforementioned ď following purchase 1968 OF ಹ the

- found Aspen Bundy Was Ŋ that during states Inn that while asked "no, I section personally Wildwood Thompson for western replied him related ιQ the contained 40 states that he has matter, Colorado, and Bundy discussed related skier guide Furthermore, Thompson guide another Thompson has that states that said suspect Bundy's apartment, a Bundy on guide affiant been in Aspen, said Jerry Thompson and Robert been in that state". Your οĘ Thompson further the portion Theodore 13.
- affiant Campbell samples and during These themselves he h affiant stated to your stated to him that seizure, to obtain any possible hair from Miss Jerry Thompson has Bundy vehicle. F.B.I. Laboratory in Washington, D.C. Campbell Theodore Robert ρλ 1968 after said taken taken vehicle. thethe Thompson further the to samples were samples were 1975, Baldridge from the unrelated further states that states that Det. affiant that on October 15, aforementioned οĤ fact several hairs were obtained parts car in order and Lt. hair on Caryn Campbell, hair Warrant various contain. that these Whitmire Your affiant search the Не personally vacuumed said from sent to the said vehicle might owned by Utah. ಥ Sheriff stated obtained to city, pursuant Your #118731185 14. subsequently further that autopsy hairs were in seized

Laboratory that the οĘ H. head same F.B affiant the from Your the obtained sent to stated to vehicle were hairs Thompson has the to Bundy comparison Detective from the possible obtained

- caucasion received Bundy that contacted Mr. Neil and indistinguishabl mentioned from the who had 1976, he another stated characteristics, above taken that belonged to 5 the February Bundy report Caryn Campbell were microscopically the hairs and front floor mat in of the further states that he has personally affiant that the mat d Caryn Campbell or on Laboratory, floor that identical microscopic trunk states the the with .B.I. from the has related to your affiant either belonged to contact ഥ from გ 8 the well Your OF obtained had indirect vehicle. ช Robert Neil samples of that vehicle hairs Bundy with hair Mr. Neil vehicle affiant hair
- a homicide hair pubic microscopically indistinguishable caucasion person with pubic direct or Neil Was Detective Q that vehicle of Utah in 1974. Smith had affiant Bundy who Smith. said Melissa vehicle. characteristics Your from the a Melissa stated to Bundy victim whose body was recovered in the STate Q the pubic hair taken that or that of the from from the Bundy vehicle was exhibits identical microscopic affiant further of taken trunk of Melissa Smith Your Robert Neil pubic hair which was contact with the to stated stated that 16. either that taken further from a hair
- Nei1 Det. that that of with that 1974. affiant Carol DaRonch. the DaRonch incident) hair either somewhere in the Bundy vehicle another caucasion person with Robert Neil has further related to your kidnap victim on November had Was who David the Bundy vehicle Ю that of characteristics Attorney discussion of microscopically indistinguishable from District from microscopic Ø head hair taken from DaRonch was from further Deputy taken Carol DaRonch or the hair S S Q identical for well that Miss 17. vehicle 13 ช stated that paragraph Thompson exhibits Miss
- that he has affiant thousand 1963. Your Ŋ affiant since to 40 4 stated approximately F.B.I. to your Neil the further related Furthermore, for ecompassed comparison comparisons. this has hair Robert Neil microscopic that hair stated 18. microscopic further

source belong the victims have been microscopically comparisons Finally several from multiple unknown hairs taken from a thousand multiple victims. these Of 2 ont to 4 case these and first several hundred of suspect the that multiple known hairs of S. one case with indistinguishable this cases least at

person 1976 her testimony of and 1974 and Miss DaRonch DaRonch has 23, above in paragraph 17, Detective Thompson 젍 the following facts, Ŋ This 1974, colored Volkswagon by February City on November above. Bundy Miss Bundy. the chance attempt ω Miss Your Further, that while in said volkswagon, discussed personally viewed a transcript of November District Court on Robert 40 she had a the head with a crowbar. his related on your affiant Theodore Salt Lake stated that Bundy was unsuccessfull in identified by Mrs. Harter as DaRonch. escape. light following. have before as she was subsequently able to on Miss Yocum Lake City victim in Q court entering Bundy, they have related to the David a handcuff in that Theodore Robert relates Salt a kidnap to strike her on into testified stated identified ATtorney has DaRonch given in enticed testimony as place AS Carol DaRonch was your affiant strict same person further positively ţ, In addition, said attempted attempted Dj. and that DaRonch further DaRonch stated Miss

present when Miss DaRonch vehicle which Miss DaRonch positively identified was August of the vehicle 8 ij on on November found 12, affiant offense crowbar January that your traffic personally was that used by Bundy as that stated and a on 40 Springs related containing handcuffs Ø Thompson VIN Number arrested for Glenwood Thompson has seized, he Furthermore, is the same stated that said vehicle was r L first was purchased vehicle was Detective vehicle when he was satchel 13, 1975. on the This Ø 118731185. Was the Bundy =#= when gas

degree kidnap that this ช in individual kidnap first degree of same convicted the second Bundy, Was for Robert sentenced affidavit Theodore this he was

fifteen serving one is presently according to Thompson and Yocum, the Utah STate Prison. in years

State of Colorado. Based on the foregoing facts, your affiant has probable cause Theodore of first 1973, 18-3-102, as amended. of crime arrest Robert Bundy from the District Court of Pitkin County, commit the the issue for that Theodore Robert Bundy did degree murder in violation of C.R.S. a warrant that request Н to believe Therefore,

| | | | 0 | |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|-------|
| | | | day o | |
| | | | | |
| er | | | this | |
| Fisl | | | me 1 | |
| Michael J. Fisher | | • | before | |
| lich | į | n n | 40 | |
| I ≓ I | | | sworn | and a |
| | | | and | 1976. |
| | State of Colorado | County of Pitkin | Subscribed and sworn to before me this | 1, 1 |
| | State of | County (| | |

Judge

H rney for Petitioner ve Exchange Place Lake City, Utah 841 lephone: 532-5835 841 lephone: Attorney Twelve BRUCE Salt

DISTRICT UTAH JUDICIAL OF STATE THIRD COUNTY THE LAKE OF COURT SALT DISTRICT FOR AND IN THE

BUNDY ROBERT THEODO RE

Petitioner

R A WRIT PETITION FOR OF HABEAS (

OF OF State Sheriff County, LARSON, Lake DELMAR

Salt Utah,

M 2 0 M No. 2 Case

Respondent

follows: 98 ege a11 etitioner

- committmen Governor Utah Peti-"A" and respondent pur that prothe the Snow arraignment petitioner 100 of this d at 1976. hereto as Exhibit 40 at restrained under Of × warden OF arrested pursuant 26, authorities Marcellus custody purposes currently Mq informed reference, on November At the liberty illegally the Of attached Governor's warrant. the Honorable by custody 13 in and attached of his fact restrained for Was Petitioner responded 1.8 Was Utah, but he the Petitioner the restrained and 13 whether 2 before State Prison which Court remanded py respondent. Draper, incorporated actual inquired also OF 1976, H Honorable copy Was son, ٠. د son. in the 26, Utah d ceeding he by petitioner petitioner 18 ri 4 20 warrant, November 84 the liberty P the herein tioner State suant and 40
- restrain the from W petitioner' request petitioner OF Q 40 pretense 0 pursuant extradition OL issued cause the The Warrant for ado 2 ξΩ Color Governor' OF State Q S -1
- -respondent by restraint Petitioner's 3

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN

AND STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C-1616

NOTICE OF FORTHWITH HEARING B STATE THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY, Plaintiff, Defendant. THE THE PEOPLE OF COLORADO, VS.

matter for immediate hearing on the Motion by The Denver Participate the above as Amicus so advised 1977, at in PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on the 11th day of March, 2 on Defendant's Motion to Proceed In Camera when and where you may be present if you are the undersigned shall apply to the Court Leave for and Jim Kirksey Rogers, John Inc., referenced in Hearing a.m. Curiae, Post, 8:30

Thomas B. Kelley (1971)

of YEGGE, HALL & EVANS
1340 Denver Club Building
Denver, Colorado 80202
573-5022
Attorneys for The Denver Post,
Inc., John Rogers, and Jim Kirksey

3

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

foregoing I hereby certify that I have mailed a true copy of the foregoing Notice of Forthwith Hearing, postage prepaid, this $\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{J}_{\text{day}}$ of March, 1977, to: Charles G. Leidner, Esquire, Deputy State Public Defender, 310 Ninth Street, Glenwood Springs, Colorado 81601, and to District Attorney, 9th Judicial District, Aspen, Colorado 81611

OF PITKIN COURT COLORADO No DISTRICT COUNTY Action OF STATE THE THE Criminal AND FOR NI AND IN

OF STATE THE THE PEOPLE OF COLORADO,

BUNDY THEODORE

Defendant

MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY HEARING and, MOTION FOR DISCOVERY AND INSPECTION

bend hard hard hard hard hard hard

the Hearing State the C.R.S by and through State Public pursuant that by Colorado Procedure; committed Preliminary to believe Order, Deputy Rogers, defendant, an been Crimina1 exists 10 for Leidner, For has K Court Rollie cause matter OF Information above-named 6 Rules the probable this Charles counsel, moves Colorado setting the the and hereby whether appointed in MOM the Defender, charged 5-301, COMES and TO determine Defendant. Court LO 9 Defender Rule offense -Public 1973, his 2 20

INSPECTION AND DISCOVERY MOTION FOR

statements that Colorado appointed witnesses their the Court and Of OF 16 his names Hearing Rule through the Preliminary under and and disclosure Procedure, bydefendant, the For requests Criminal called The OF be counsel Rules Will

JO. day this submitted Respectfully

1977 100

Defender ROGERS State Public Re ROLLIE R.

81601 SCW1000520 G. LEIDNER SCWF000 State Public Defender Colorado Springs, Deputy Sta 310 Ninth Glenwood Sp 945-5828 CHARLES

DATED:

IN THE DISTRICT COURT

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITKIN AND

STATE OF COLORADO

Criminal Action No. C-1616

| |) MOTION BY THE DENVER POST, INC.,) JOHN ROSERS. AND JIM KIRKSEY FOR |) LEAVE TO PARTICIPATE IN HEARING |) IN CAMERA AS AMICUS CURIAE | |
|--------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------|
| THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, | Plaintiff, | vs. | THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY, | Defendant. |

this Honorable Court attorney 1977 in the hearing on defendant's 11, their on March John Rogers and Jim Kirksey, by and Evans, hereby move As grounds for such motion, movants show to the court: scheduled amicus curiae now Thomas B. Kelley of Yegge, Hall Inc., for leave to participate as The Denver Post, Ŗ. motion to proceed

- and Colorado corporation which is the a daily and Sunday newspaper styled, The Denver Post, circulation throughout the State of Colorado Ŋ T.S Inc. Post, States. general The Denver Rocky Mountain newspaper of publisher of
- John Rogers is a citizen of the State of Colorado and is the Denver Post. The of editor managing
- a newspaper and Jim Kirksey is a citizen of the State of Colorado Post, The Denver for reporter 3
- essential part of such function, movants observe and report the workings and as newspaper reporters, movants are actively of the judicial process and thereby permit the public the opportunity engaged in the dissemination of information to the public and, as an justice oĘ administration scrutinize the and discuss to observe,
- that the news media and public be barred from attending all hearings in this matter in which defendant Bundy would have camera, evidence is to be presented or discussed. proceed in and any the preliminary hearing and motion this Court order his

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF PITK

STATE OF COLORADO

C-1616

Criminal Action Number

| PITKIN SHIRLEY W. DILLS, Clerk 89, DEPUTY |
|--|
|--|

| PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO, Plaintiff, | | | | |
|---|----|---|----------|------|
| vs. | FC | FINDINGS IN SUFFORT OF OR FOR PARTIAL ATTORNEY FEES | ATTORNEY | FEES |
| THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY, | ~~ | | | |
| Defendant. | ~ | | | |

proceedings attorney's of the Amended Motion with the of familiarity payment partial consideration Court's based upon the

IT IS FOUND THAT:

- first Defendant is charged with the crime of degree murder; 1.
- Defendant is indigent, and the Public Defender of the State of Colorado was originally appointed by Court to represent him. 2.
- changed Subsequently, the Defendant was permitted to role was represent himself and the Public Defender's to that of advisory counsel 3.
- this case and, on June 16, 1977, Stephen A. Ware, Esq., was as a witness in People v. Bundy, Criminal Action No. in on result of a Deputy Public Defender being and Defender was permitted to withdraw as advisory counsel the Public advisory counsel; in the Pitkin County District Court, to act as Court the a As by 4 appointed C-1635 named

ACTIONS REGISTER OF

Pitkin

District Court

Case No.

Establishment Disclo Exclusionary Filing Dates Motionfor from Defendant to Motion Analysis and for Est ir Specimens. Motic the Public Defender Continue from Pretrial Motions. ysis and 1 Letter 40 Suppression Defendant's Motion Human Hair Public for sclosures. Motacasclosures to Defendant. Hair Test Huma . Motion the Human Pretrial Exclude in and an Expert Gather and Documents (Suppression) Hearings. of Mailing Motion Di a Procedure to Obscretionary Discretionary Discretiles and of Certificate Appointment Case

of

further 1977 6 1977; to May 13, 1977 considered May to be ed ex parte dates to be continued hearing da scheduled. Filing date for motions extension of filing and on appearance presently 5/3/17

Hoge and Kienist Dick Sheriff to Letters Copies of Defendants Carfield County Sheri

with Advisory Counsel Leidner Frant's with Advisory Counsel Leidner. Defendant's Motions. Defendant written and Court grants. Defendant's Motion to ry. Court grants Defendant to Defendant.

Fig. 6 case files and documents to Defendant.

Filed by May 13, 1977. Judge Lohr-kourners Pro Se with Defendant appearing Pro Se with Matter coming on for hearing on Defendant Motion for Discovery heard and Court or Order in regard to Discovery. Court grave Public Defender Disclose case file Have Public Defender order on Discovery to make written order on Discovery to make written order on Discovery to make Make Make Discovery to make Make Make Motions presently files. Copre Vs. Donner Lohr-Robbins-Dills Sheriff. PEOPLE VS. THE 5/5/7 5/9/77 C-1616

Discovery 23, for Motion Defendant's a.m. 8:00

at

-Memorandum and Argument on or Discretionary Disclosure for Defendant's and Motion f 5/9/77

197 25, April on Court 0pen in for Discovery Filed to Motion Amendment 19/77

Evidence (nonmaterial) Nondiscoverable of Excision Judicial for Motion 5/9/77

Smith of People V. Copy 1 16/5

in Confinement same. 440 Support of Conditions in Improve Defendant's Cond ounty Jail and Affidavit County to Garfield Motion 5/9/77

With same Comply Support 10 Failure in ed for Fail Affidavit Issued and Be 1 Order 25, April Citation dated Contempt Court of for Ruling Motion 5/9/77

Defendant the to Care Dental Necessary Providing Order An for Motion 2/6/5 Communications Confidential Guaranteeimg Relationships Order Priviledged Protective Establishing An for Motion and 5/9/77

Defendant Indigent Assist to Investigator an of Appointment Expense ion for Public E Motion 2/9/17

Order Discovery 5/15/77 to Motion Motions Defendants 5/16/77

Daronch Considerati Incorporeal, Lineup, and Incorporeal, Lineup, and tion, Testimony of Carol Da from Constin Support Particulars
Death Penalty
nt & Affidavit co Suppress Evidence,
co Suppress Incorporeal, I
contification, Testimor
co Suppress Photographic
dy of Lizabeth Harter
cor a Bill of Particulars the Strike for Motion to Testimony to to Court Motion Motion Motion In

Arraignment Mailing of Certificate for of Motion There

Horn many

5/11/17

Liter

Case No.

County Court District

C-1616 4/15/77

BUNDY

cel supplied the Defendant That secretary him in regard for but make the product thru the color. Land and allowed to keep in his cell legal color and allowed to maintain certain older in his cell legal color he requests. (10) That Defendant be allowed to keep his work product he requests. (11) The requests. (10) That Defendant be allowed to keep his work product in preparation for the case in his possession at all times. (11) The Court enter a protective order preventing anyone from examining or seizing such work product or any communications between the Defendant parties involved with this case, and finally for improvement of the conditions of his incarceration.

For a ruling on the above motions refer to Reporter's Transcript of the Court's Ruling filed on April 18, 1977. All other Motions which were not ruled upon in said transcript whould be prepared in writing and filed with the Court by April 25, 1977 at 8:00 a.m.

Court denies people's Motion to hold all future hearings in Glenwood court denies people's Motion to hold all future hearings and court denies people's Motion to hold all future hearings and court denies people's first the Public Defender, Court grants motion court denies people and court of the Public Defender, Court grants motion court denies people and court of the Public Defender and court grants motion court denies people and court of the Public Defender and court grants motion court denies people and court of the Public Defender and court grants motion court denies people and court of the Public Defender and court grants motion court denies people and court of the public Defender and court grants motion court denies people and court of the public Defender and court grants motion court denies hearing and court of the public before and court grants and court and court grants and court denies hearing and court of the public before and court grants and court denies hearing and court denies people and court denies denies and court access to complete law library for a 3 hr. period two afternoons a week. (6) That Defendant be allowed to receive week. (7) That the Defendant be furnished the services of a secretand allowed to maintain certain office. (8) That Defendant be summine requests. (7) That Defendant be furnished the services of a secretand allowed to maintain certain office supplies and allowed to maintain office supplies. to his motion that examining and provide (3) That all on own counsel to prepare 1977. (2) coming Defendant. (3) That his request. (4) The the morning and once indant be allowed to reconstructions. possible consequences Defendant. Springs Warns Matter rt advises Defendant or the errows thimself and war Id arrise in attempting to represent himself and war possibility of self incrimination. Argument of Defe ple make no objection to Defendant proceeding Pro se uest that all future hearings be held in Glenwood Spuest that all future oral motions in addition counsel continue Public Defender continue of for filing on May 6 Proceed as the to Leidner which are already set for appoint Public Defender as Se Motion e services of their investigator cuments be provided to the Defendendant have access to a phone or with counsel int's Pro Se the That Johnston-Dills Defendant's (1)Judge Lohr-Johnsto Defendant present proceed pro se. (1 the motions which request that all Defendant makes Court hearing People That

order which writing Glenwood s motion future to be the fu counsel in action advisory this in it denie. Ings. At the ic. t reporter prepare tra... ish it to all parties. The ish it to all parties. The invthing that is filed in

Courts aling 11/8/11 0-1616 100//

eseasch. Material

allower. hotion yes Perticon

Defendant

ar and requests
liminary Hearing
The ropy of pages makes coming on Lor motions Court for Research Mat the set Lohr-Johnston-Dills DA-Bryanc Leidner. Marco-lant present with advisory counsel, Leidner. Marcolant paresent with advisory counsel, Supplies, Research of on Defendants Motion for Equipment, Supplies, Research of on Defendant and the People. Argument of Counsel. Care of Defendant and the People. Argument of Counsel. Care of Defendant and the People. Argument of Counsel. Gas evidenced in Court's Ruling filed April 25, 1977 by as evidenced in Court's Ruling filed April also hear any Defendant files Motion for Discovery and matter set of Defendant request of the Court will also hear any described and the Court will also hear any defendant files Motion for Defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant files Motion for Defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant files Motion for Defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant files Motion for Defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant files Motion for Defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant files Motion for Defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant files Motion for Defendant request of the Court will also hear any defendant request of the Court will be contained the Court will in presented Prel. furnished Defendant of the Pre Was be 8:00 a.m., Court wiend of this week. which he s and that U.S.A" whi comments Country addition to Ski Court's an "Colo the granted hearing. Services booklet include hearing on Evidence ruling as reporter. hearing is that the and and to

9191-0 Case No.

M

County PITKIN District Court

3/22/77

amer 0 = U e ce 0 0 0 ono 7 Mo 6 = enyi rder

3

4/**4**/77 C-1616

P

People vs. Bundy
Judge Lohr-Johnston-Dills - DA Frank Tucker, Milton Blakey and
Robert Russell
Robert Russell
Defendant present with counsel James Dumas & Chas. Leidner.
Matter coming on for Preliminary Hearing. Defendant requests
sequestration of witnesses. Court grants same with exception of
Fisher DA's Advisory Witness. Defendant Makes motions prior to
beginning of hearing to prevent People from presenting evidence
of similar transactions, to close the Preliminary Hearing, and to
prevent DaRonch from testifying because of lack of proper notice
of using her as witness for this hearing. Court denies all three
motions. Opening statements of counsel. Evidence of People begun
and not completed, continued to 4/2/77 at 8:00 a.m. t0

an (p Defenda w the sumed and completed. Evidence of Defendent of Counsel. Court will review the m. on 4/6/77 to announce decision.

of Defendant's motions if probable e given 30 days from date of filing ry hearings. Hearing on Motions to 7, 1977 at 9:00 a.m. (3 days estimate B completed. Argument of completed. Argument of and set 4:00 p.m. on 4 jiven for due date of Deris found and People giver are for evidentiary hears to be held June 7, 193 Evidence of Defenbegun & completedevidence and set May 6 given for cause is found at to prepare for elbe filed to be here

76/77

₽. Ø 2 4 0 n this be 7 at 8 cause in strain should b 1-Bryant
5 probable
6 Defendant
for April People v. Bundy Judge Lohr-Johnston-Kaplanoff - DA. DPWC, Leidner. Court rules there is case. Court sets hearing on whether transferred to Garfield County Jail

Release

Contitue

C-1616 4/11/77 (2)

ty d People vs. Bundy
Judge Lohr-Johnston-Dills DA-Tucker
Defendant present with counsel, Leidner. Matter coming on for hearing on People's Motion to Transfer Defendant to Garfield CounJail at the Request of the Sheriff's Dept. Defendant opposes saio Motion. Evidence of People. Argument of Counsel. Court orders Defendant may be transferred to Garfield County Jailawaiting tria of this matter. Dey

ACTIONS REGISTER OF

Case No. C-161

| | | | Amount |
|----------------|------|---------------|-------------------|
| | Fees | | By |
| County | | | Date |
| urtpitkin | | Title | TO THEMS HO TITED |
| District Court | | Vumber C_1616 | 2424 |

| | | 500 | Fees | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|----------|------|--------|
| Case Number C-1616 | Title | Date | Bv | Amount |
| Date of Filing 10/26/76 | PEOPLE OF STATE OF COLORADO, | 20/00/01 | ` | |
| Judge Lohr | VS. | 9//97/01 | | |
| Attorneys Dist. Atty. | THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY | | | |
| | | | | |
| Pub. Def. Leidner | | | | |
| Type of Case 18-3-102 | | | | |
| | d | | | |
| DISPO: | REGISTER OF ACTIONS | | | |
| | | | | |

| | MEMORANDUM OF PROCEEDINGS |
|----------|---|
| 10/26/76 | DIRECT CRIMINAL INFORMATION AFFIDAVIT APPEGT WARRANT Affidavit for Arrest Warrant |
| 12/3/76 | Application for services of Public Defender accompanied w/ lett from Def Bundy. |
| 12/3/76 | PEOPLE V. BUNDY Lohr-Johnston-Kaplanoff DDA Bryant Def not present. Pub Def Leidner present. Public Def Leidner appointed to represent Def Bundy. |

ter

DA-Tu Chuck BUNDY couns /31/77

may prepare to request. [ZITS-FA ti-me 0 efender st. Pub. request counsel g the the C endant evi 40 th gard t that for t efendant no photographs or of will be permitted. Sheriff rder. Court request that cou DO dering dering st O raining t partie ef red est ffs 0 hearing the Court to bring the in some sort of restrains 15 min. in order that Evidence of People in It grants People's requesternt room in handcuff r, Pub DuMas the ker Leidner James D for h bri pro On Court Defendant D e o Gar el, Charance arance comir O only 0.1 De-frendant ppe Court to the ing c on from irt room iter for Later: C t 3 5 S .L riir OF enforce hearing el. this hearsporting ea counsel brough 200 urther of be tran THE PEOPLE
Judge LohrDefendant a
Mr. Leidner
Def., State
People for
to and from
Court conti
for this he
Argument of
Defendant b
purposes of
of the tran
impressions
authorized ate 0 f

ter coming on for advisements rights under the Murder in the First Beardon. So. 20. Court orders no for Discovery and Defendant waives 30 day or sets preliminary hearing to restraining of the restrictive press order, to the Preliminary Hearing. ining re presiminar 28, 19 fong with D regard to and for res prior to t 100 a.m. Fe charging charging ublic Pefender Wing and Motion fof Defendant. D. HEaring. Court a.m. along with lurt will record a s N regal and fo 0 f fendant 00: in 00 bruary motions in e hearings them heard set for 9 Publi Publi Pring & of De HEari Defendent file Cour 40 minal Information
Sond will rest
appointment of P
Preliminary Hear
filed in behalf
t on Preliminary Set with ses 00: s by future min t 9 ind have Motions Filed b 1.Je now present Court advi a t 100 0 during 701 i.d O 0.5 a 70 on s Will day ement Defendant nof rights.
Direct Criv. (18-3-192)
that prior Hotion for Inspection
Trequirement for March I op nt Defendar he must Hearing Motions e ouns 200

ACTIONS OF REGISTER

9 C-161 No. Case

County Pitkin Court District

19 /3/7

ngs)
waived
Motion. all couns od Sprin efendant of this resence of Defe for purpose of r prohibiting a re ٢ r. Pr aived Order 0 D G ic DA Tu idner for publi DDORE BUNDY n- DDA-Shaw and D g by counsel, Leid is hearing. Venue alf of Defendant f e case with the pu VS. THEODORE -Johnston- D appearing by es of this he ring this behalf 9 on ing SO pose d en THE PEOPLE Judge Lohr Defendant SP for purp Oral Mot from dis Motion

roposed Ma da 1 · 50 E 0 = 0 Defenda trial Heari Gjectio urt actual actual No ob 3/11/ r hearing prior to r not ente st of DA. ntinued to EOPLE VS. THEODORE BUNDY

Lother-Johnston-Dills DA-Tucker

Leidner & DeMas. Matter coming on for hearin
of Order in regard to press attendance prior t
nent of Counsel. Court's proposed Order not er
of to Counsel. Court's proposed Order of DA
of the Counsel. Court's proposed Order of DA
of Order in regard to April 4, 1977 at 9:00 THE PEOPLE vs.
Judge Lohr-John
DPWC/Leidner &
form of Order i
Argument of Cou
on Motions set
Defendant. Mat 2/14/77 C-1616

Hearing re-set to April 4, 1977 avrement on PredemonandMearkogeEDINGS requirement a y

٠. H

٦. A

14/88/2 1/38/17

Gr ax as I fem the Bope, a Distion t es fork. Heaving ax 3 Halice Special

& Motion to Satterinate

red to with

77 at 8:30 Garle Seiloute litter

15/8 Day Mozero. Se Judge John and borner of the Bot, Ine, John Coldant benday 3/9/27 3/9/7

Brief 3/10/17

9 -16 /11/ 30

People vs. Bundy
Judge Lohr-Johnston-Dills - DA-Tucker & Bryant
Defendant not appearing in person but by counsel, Leidner. The Court
Defendant not appearing in person but by counsel, Leidner. The Court
having recivida a letter from the Defendant in regard to the manner
in which he is brought into the court room. Court will hear arguments
in regard to same before the defendant is brought into the court room.
Evidence of Defendant. Argument. Court rules defendant be allowed
to appear in Court in street clothes but may be restrained in handcuffs
while being transported to the Court room by the sheriff's deputy.
Court grants request that no photographs or reproductions be made of
Court drants request that no photographs or reproductions be made of
the defendant while being transported into the court room on this date.
Defendant now present inCourt. Advises the Court pursuant to his
liction in regard to the participation of the media in regard to his
liction to Proceed In Camera on any pre-trial hearings. The mediat
appearing by counsel, Mr. Kelley and Mr. Kane. People have no
objection to participate in this hearing for purpose of this isaring.
Of media to participate in this hearing for purpose of presenting
legal argument. After hearing the arguments of counsel and evidence,
the Court takes the matter under advisement.

20

a J W S advi ۲. ۲ oming Leave to Conduct Pro Se ory Counsel. Defendant adv Motion and will proceed to ic Defender. Matter conti n for Leave to C dvisory Counsel. said Motion ar Public Dr People vs. Bundy
Judge Lohr-Johnston-Dills - DA-Bryant
Defendant present with counsel Leidner &
for hearing on Defendant's Motion for Le
Defense and for Appointment of Advisory
Court that he wishes to withdraw said Mo
the Preliminary Hearing with the Public
to April 4, 1977 at 9:00 a.m. for Prelim ense irt the Prel he F

Case No. C-1616

County Pithin District Court

Court, the e Motion to Completion with isted be allowed its Motion. on 00:6 hearing t for hearing Motions for 7/14/77 at 9 0. The heard on 1/14/17 a to telephone call matter at \$200,000 Judge Lohn-Johnswon Leidner & Vurnus.

Judge Lohn-Johnswon Leidner & Vurnus.

DPW Advisory Counsel, Leidner & Vurnus.

DPW Advisory Counsel, Leidner & Leidner Requests that they be a date, the Office of the Public Defender requests that they be a date, the Office of the Public Defender requests the Defendant as to withdraw as advisory counsel in provided to represent the Defendant as Mr. Stephen A. Ware is appointed to represent the Open Court advisory counsel. Upon a waiver by the Defendant in open Court will allow Mr. DuMas to complete the arguments on the Mot Court will be heald on 6/23/77 at 9:00 a.m. along with Office be held on 6/23/77 at 9:00 a.m. along with Defendant's Motion in regard to telephone call subpoens for Out of State Witnesses will be heard on 7/14/77 a.m. along with Defendant's Motion in regard to telephone call a.m. along with Defendant's hotion in regard to telephone call priviledges. Court re-sets the bail in this matter at \$200,001

The bond if made will also apply to C-1635. been as nat they be Court grants Defendant as Ledon DA-Tucken & Shaw Leidnen & DuMas. Mr. I C-1635 filed against the Public Defenden neque ty counsel in this matter appointed to represent in a waiver by the Defen PEOPLE VS. BUNDY e Lohn-Johnston-Di 6/16/77 C-1616

| MEMORANDUM OF PROCEEDINGS | | Meters for Buren +19 | Mation for ilepaintnest of to-trained | notion service the continued the by kelendant of a correct | Chathan for the Jotatinest of mor Coul Caker. | | | 1386417 32 (8,7) souther R. G. Co. Co. Co. Co. Co. |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|---------|---------|--|
| Date | 6/16/77 | 1420/17 | 1/20/17 | 11/22/11 | | 6/22/7/ | 11/20/1 | 11/28/2 |

ACTIONS REGISTER OF

161 U No. Case

DA-Blakey Matter County PITKIN District Court BUNDY Lohr 5/26/77 C-1616

7th hearing 00:6 Cour mai have for ess setting forth the items he is requesting on June 7, 1977 at time and place said items he is requesting suppression of same to People by 5/31/77 and file the original with the Court to item (i) of Defendant's Motion for Discretionary in regard to discovery will he. at of advisory counsel, Leidner. Macconstantial Suppression of Suppression to serious suppression of S and Motion e 7, 1977 at to his Moti the hearings 22, 1977 at 9 camera. to irt to be reviewed in con same. Any matters no taken up at the June ovember 14, 1977 at 9:0 continue the gator is to opearte. Comes now arguments on Motion. Gourt grants Defendant's Motion to continue to Suppression Motions. Matter continued to June 22 days estimated) Motion for Eill of Particulars Death Penalty will remain set for hearing on June m. Court orders defendant to prepare supplement. jury on November ng on Defendant's Motion for Continset for June 7, 1977. Prior to hea Court appoints Mr. James Howard as lant. Court will prepare written Orequirements and guidelines under trial with for estimated) Defendant present Disclosures and case Defendant. a.m. (3 days (Strike Death Ig.) time set exception investigator time in Suppress after sets theContinue, resolved weeks Continue (3 the, the the Court Court copy and on an to

5/31/77 5/31/77 5/31/77

6/1/77

1

Dental Motion for Di Appointment of Appoint (Re: (Re: (Re: Order

Human Hair Analysis) care)

of Expert in Hum of Investigator) Order

Information) In-Camera Presentation of Non-Material (Re:

BUNDY VS. PEOPLE

itter coming Death Penalty nt took leave Blakey moved So ordered Was Matter Judge Lohr-Honeman-Kaplanoff DA Blakey
Def pres with adivsory counsel, Leidner and DeMas. Matt
on for hearing on Defendant's Motion for Striking the De
Atty DeMas gave oral argument on the Motion. Argument w
completed and recess was given where upon the Defendant
of the court room and did not return. At 3:00 p.m. DA Bl p.m. D. At 3:00 Def's a issued return. A Bench Warrant issued fo. Lohr. ಡ Judge have to

for Witnesses Affidavit in Support Subpoenas State and A State a Certificate Requesting e Evidence from Another S s Motion) (Defendant' Tangible Motion for same

Assist to Forensic Pathologist Q Appointment of a at for Motion for Defendant

for Defendant Bench Warrant

6/13/77

LL/L/9

11/1/2

LL/L/9

ing the located. y the sustody further regar regard t. in Defendant re in regar while in increasing the 2nd river increase of cameras on the 2nd river prior to trial by juing People must apply to the Court prior trial. Court advir to continuance of this order during trial. Court granted Defendant that telephone privileges previously granted Defendant's absence from Court were revoked during the Defendant's absence from Court were revoked during the 1977 at 9:00 a.m. for fur ten continued to June 16, 1977 at 9:00 a ceedings.
len in negand to security precrutions to this in transportion the referrant for a proceedi Orden in Sheriff

9

be used by της διακίνο

Case No. C-1616

County

| | | ı |
|--------------|---|---|
| | | I |
| | | ۱ |
| | | ۱ |
| | | ۱ |
| | | ١ |
| | | ۱ |
| | | ۱ |
| | | ı |
| | | ı |
| | | ۱ |
| | | ı |
| | | ı |
| | | ı |
| | | ۱ |
| | | ı |
| | | ı |
| | | ı |
| 8 | | ۱ |
| 7. | | ı |
| | | ı |
| IJ | | ı |
| \sim | | ı |
| | | ł |
| TF | | ۱ |
| H | | ۱ |
| Δ. | | I |
| | L | ۱ |
| | | I |
| | | ı |
| | | l |
| 22* | - | ١ |
| | | ١ |
| | | ١ |
| | | ı |
| | | I |
| | | ۱ |
| _ | | l |
| E | | ı |
| 3 | | ۱ |
| 5 | | Į |
| ۲۲ | | ۱ |
| \cup | | Į |
| | | ١ |
| 77 | | ۱ |
| .≃ | | ١ |
| \mathbf{H} | | ۱ |
| ĭ | | ۱ |
| 15 | | ۱ |
| 0 | | ۱ |
| 1 | | í |

pessions suspects and the results of any investigations in their reportalise. People to include results of any investigations in their reportalise. Court will also handle any matters in camera that the People to incation of where hair specimens are presently heald. (d) Cover letters from pitkin County Sheriff to F.B.I. People to check to see if all such indeters have been made available and if they feel same should not be made available, should advise the Court and make same the subject of in camera hearing. (e) Identity of witness referred to in news reports of statements made by Tucker. People advise Court that they will provide information to Court to determine whether or not same should be made available to Defendant in an in camera hearing. (f) Names and addresses of all persons shown the pictographs of the victim and defendant. Defendant to file written motion supplementing this request. Same to be heard at next proceeding in Court on this case.

(1) Motion for Protective Order Guaranteeing Confidential Communications and Priviledged Relationships. Motion to prevent monitoring of Defendant's phone calls granted. Court desires some changes in phone call procedures and same is set forth on record. Motion to generally prohibit monitoring or interference with confidential relationships with Brown & Farmer confidential and no calls to be made to them at public expense. Court finds confidential relationships with Brown & Farmer confidential and no calls to be made to them at public expense. Court finds confidential relationships with Brown & Farmer confidential and no calls to be made to them at public expense. Court finds confidential relationships with Brown & Farmer confidential and no calls to be made to them at public expense. Court finds allows. Leidence of Defendant. Argument. Court finds confidence relationships with Brown & Farmer confidence of Defendant had maintened of conditions of conditions of confidence of nickers and advised by the Sheriff and to examine documents entered as Exhibits in this hea levels are uses is denied is granted to other Sheriff's discretion the question of allowing the speak and associate with other inmates. Application same. Court is granted radio in h t case as they relate t any investigation into stigations in their rep report his examine Mr. Bundy to assure that his health and energy lanintained. Application for sheets, pillow & pillow case the Court requests the doctor to include in his report herecommendation as to exercise periods for the Defendant. The Sheriff's discretion the question of allow Defendant to speak and associate with other inmates. Applications for haircut to be provided at defendant's expense Defendant will be allowed to have battery powered The District Attorney is to prepare written order regard to subject the results of an and Reports made ible suspects le to include Court will

26, set Defendant's Motion for Continuance p.m. on Hearing at 2:00

> 5/23/77 5/23/77 5/26/77

Order

Court Ordered Discovery & Inventory the possession of the Defendant Requested Information %.
of Non-Material Copy of Letter to Dr. Cummins Affidavit & Progress Report on of Evidence Disclosed to or in as a Result of Discovery. a Result of Discover Camera Presentation

5/26/77

Proposed

Defendant,

C-161 Case No.

Court

County

ount Onders, t as to the Motion Sceking nedit ement of Prior Court on and effect except as to to Defendant's Motion Authorized Telephone (Restatement force and subject of Court Seeking in full its the lant of A Defendant Defendant's Motion S nules same are still s one credit card which hq 11.50 Continued In re: De Court rule Lelephone

taken from his cell in same have not been te he will file a Motion n items were tak absence and sar d on this date K Defendant informs Court that certain the Garfield County Jail during his oneturned to him and if not return ed negand イル

Comes now hearing on Defindant's Motion in Re Telephone Credit Card Privileges. Argument. Court rules credit card will be reinstated with the provisions that a deputy or employee of the Garfield County Jail shall be given the name and phone number of the person to be called; shall placthe call for the Defendant and shall verify identity of person called and shall make a record of such call showing date, person called, number and charges. Said report to be furnished to the Court Administrator on a weekley basis. After the placement of the call and the verification of identity, there shall be no monotoring of any calls. People to prepare charges. Said ne weekley basis. A identity, there s whitten Orden. Court makes note

in. s written desired i c matter is a the his has not filed if any action. Defendant and te of fact that Dr. Cummins Sourt as requested and that should be contacted by the neet the Court again. ote of Court the sho note the negand report to this regar brought

essect イン still 1.5 exams physical regard to order nules

Lieten it arter 6/27/77

1 am + Thyato Kingl. Kindynituant of ンイン

ACTIONS OF REGISTER

Š. Case

| 0 | I |
|---------------|---|
| \mathcal{O} | |
| 1 | |
| | I |
| | |
| | I |
| | |
| | İ |
| | |
| 5 | |
| .4 | |
| 4 | - |
| .7 | - |
| Et. | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 1 | - |
| | İ |
| 1 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| - 1 | |
| T | - |
| m | - |
| \mathcal{C} | |
| + | - |
| ic | |
| stric | |
| 0, | |

17 00

isted 0 CT Lon 0 0 completivith
The 6 skis ake Mot sor ourt, Moti 000 been as be nts ions 4/17 Con 00 ount grant Defendant ts on the 6/7/77. 63 open hone t \$2 dg h were the arguments on h were interrupted on 6/7/7 or 9:00 a.m. alcoto telephone call privileds of 6/22/77 and 9:00 a.m. alcoto 6/22/77 and he-set hester will be heard on 7/1, the set in this matter at sty to C-1635. eidnen nepresent the the the Defendant. nequests matter. i & Shaw is. Mr. against ender this sex by the complete たの sile 206 Stephen A. Ware is appointed to sory counsel. Upon a waiver but will allow Mr. DuMas to compile the Death Penalty which werthis hearing will be held on 6/2 endant's Motion in regard to te oressions hearings scheduled for 4/77 at 9:00 a.m. (3 days estiments for Out of State Witness S. Mo.t. counse s VA-idnen. Publi out of State (the Defendant's Court he sont 0 in (the , 45 a e of advis . Ware . sel. 5.5 Coi VS. John as possible wadate, the Oss to withdraw a advisory coun count will all strike the De of this hearinglendant's M Suppressions Subpoenas for a.m. along winter bond it my rog ry Loh PEO THE Judg DPW as F

| MEMORANDUM OF PROCEEDINGS | Order appointing coursel Processed large of the last little of little little last little of little last last last little little last last last last last last last last |
|---------------------------|--|
| Date | 6/16/17 4/20/77 6/20/77 6/22/77 6/32/77 6/32/77 |

-Blakey vs. Bundy Roach-Dills counsel advisony 80 01

zo, nt bendan no 0 negand July 1, el of Part ent of Def t's Brief eply Brief answer th insel Dresner and DuMas. Matter coming on fortion to Strike Death Penalty and For Bill of Sle begun and completed. Rebuttal argument of With Court a copy of Defendant-Appellant's Bruth. People Will be allowed to file Reply 1977, and Defendant Will have 30 days to answer to ple request permission to file a Brief in reof Particulars. Said Brief to be filed by Jull have 15 days to Answer same and People 15 is their Reply to the Answer Brief. hearing on Motion to gument of People begindent files with Cope or Wildermuth. Sone July 1, 1977, a ply Brief. People nition for Bill of Parid Defendant will have 2000 to The People Judge Lohn DPW adviso of hearing Angument o Defendant People v. Before Jul Reply Brie and Defend thereafter t Defenc

ery > 2 UE 4 di 00 4 4 0 hearing 2 0 50.4 etame 2 4 n not sinds 3 y Oresne counsel tems. new fic i red ny bil In specif ve to Motion for Disconas been complet file Motion as t has k file

Pub.R. ci udu o Exclu 9:00 ing c to at hear ion 977 るなった ~~ 4 0 and \$ Pathologist ans set for July lon the press Forensic F Hearings to counsel 06 1 ion 0 S U n for Appointment Pre Trial Suppress dant to give Notic ding the public. n Pre T Indant Luding Motion hrom Defen exclu

116 47 0 1977 uance 5 5 5 Juli ing 4 be sone Requess on Defendant on or. Defendant's Motion e Witnesses. State serve ict to and ser nespect out of S 0 with , 40g People to position Subpoenas 20

9 So. Case

County ITKIN District Court

> 80 75

BUNDY . VS. Lohr

ing 0 Motions Court 7th hear 0.. have the hearings 22, 1977 at 9: rs and Motion tune 7, 1977 at of on ting camera stigator is to opearte. Comes now arguments on Motion to stigator is to opearte. Comes now arguments on Motion to continue the hearing inne. Court grants Defendant's Motion to continue to June 22, 1977 at (3 days estimated) Motion for Eill of Particulars and Motion (8 days estimated) Motion for Eill of Particulars and Motion (9 days estimated) Motion for Eill of Particulars and Motion (9 a.m. Court orders defendant to prepare supplement to his Motion Court orders defendant to prepare supplement to his Motion for Defendant to the time and place said items were seized, etc. Defendant to the time of the next hearing. People advise Court that they hearing to the time of the next hearing. People advise Court that they heare time of the next hearing. People advise Court that they heare time of the next hearing. People advise Court that they heare time of the next hearing. People advise Court that they heare and present same to the Court to be reviewed in cameral closures and present same to the Court to be reviewed in cameral closures and present same to the Court to be reviewed in cameral contracts. to atter coming Suppression on the for Discretionary they uance or ring on Motion to special investigato 00:6 O special Any matters part the June 4, 1977 at 9: Appointing h the specia on Motion -Blakey - Matter 0 now arguments of Motion to cont 11 be taken up. on November 14, Je Lohr - Dills - Dills DA-Blak sndant present with advisory counsel, Leidner. hearing on Defendant's Motion for Continuance rings set for June 7, 1977. Prior to hearing clings court appoints Mr.James Howard as specifinue, Court appoints Mr.James Howard as specifinue. Order same: will prepare written; and guidelines under on ruling to discovery will taial to jury on Ø same make d the time of the next the time of the next in exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (i) or exception to item (ii) or exception to item (iii) uppress setting forth the time and place sai ngs set for June 7, nue, Court appoints Defendant. Court wil estimated). for regard case for Defendant. nvestigator Disclosures in in resolved ir Court sets Continue, the Defend a.m. Continue. weeks the y of 3 copy of at the a.m. (3 Strike 9:00 a. the Court orth and On

5/31/77 5/31/77 5/31/77 6/1/77

(Re: (Re: (Re: Order

Motion son Dental Cane) Appointment of Expert in Human Hain Analysis) Appointment of Investigator) Order

Information In-Camera Presentation of Non-Material (Re: Order

PEOPLE

leave moved ered oming Penal Blakey mo So ordere neman-Kaplanoff DA Blakey address. Matter con addressy counsel, Leidner and DeMas. Matter cong on Defendant's Motion for Striking the Death Pive oral argument on the Motion. Argument was I recess was given where upon the Defendant took room and did not return. At 3:00 p.m. DA Blakey och Warrant issued for the Def's arrest. So orde issued Was Bench Warrant VS. BUNDY Lohr-Honeman-Kaplanoff pres with adivsory for hearing on Defen hearing of court room Lohr. and Atty DeMas completed a d Judge have Judge Def on to by

Subpoenas for Witnesses tate and Affidavit in Suppor State a Certificate Requesting e Evidence from Another S Motion) endant's (Def Tangible for Motion and of s

the to Assist Pathologist Forensic Ø Appointment of a at Public Expense for Motion for Defendant

LL/L/9

for Defendant Bench Warrant

6/13/7 **LL/L/9**

People v. Bundy
Judge Lohn-Johnston-Dills DA-Bryant
Judge Lohn-Johnston-Dills DA-Bryant
Defendant present with advisory counsel, Leidner. Defendant
advised of Court's order made before his appearance in regard
to the use of restraints and arms by the Sheriff's Dept. in
thansporting the Defendant to and from the Court room and
thansporting the Defendant to and from the Court room and
while in the Court room and the Court's order restricting the
use of cameras on the 2nd Floor where the Court advises
people must apply to the Court prior to trial by jury in regard
to continuance of this order during trial. Court advises
befondant that telephone privileges previously granted by the
Court were revoked during the Defendant's absence from custody.
Matter continued to June 16, 1977 at 9:00 a.m. for further the Sherrsh can ana the sorder restricting the sorder restricting the courtroom is located. · 7 regard t. in 1650 0 , to proceedions 40 sedings. C. 3 2.5

*** C18 p.a

∪ ::

ACTIONS REGISTER OF

No. Case

> ITKIN Court

County

grante some labl Of de information available withdraws presentl re his den 00 thes s case.
Confidential
Motion to prevent ·H W are allowing the Application relationship advise not adv .H Physic ame es no fid Court requires report allows diet B.1. avai] of Court require l examination (e) prepare pre. confi S to 1.5 ٠, Garfield address defendant ew granted does with level Ø ate t into and maintenance whether present a the intellectual cases revi should hearing, are made reque earing. law or interference voriviledged relations finds materials O made Court. that tate their Defendant to nd energy pillow ca confidential should be n his report the Defendant to specimens / Sheriff t to doctor to v frequent] rad nd been in the inmates. curr. Argument inctor for a physical of his health and realth and as they restigati available, camera and this Leidner to the C Court of the extent t to Defendant in an in camera hearing. (f) Names all persons shown the photographs of the victim a Defendant to file written motion supplementing the to be heard at next proceeding in Court on this consider for Protective Order Guaranteeing Communications and Priviledged Relationships. Moreonitoring of Defendant's phone calls granted. Consider to generally prohibit monitoring or interfecential relationships granted as to priviled in regard to DuMas, Leidner, O'Connell & Lubeck. consider relationships with Brown & Farmer confiderelationships with Morton & Howard to the extent (12) Motion to Tunnon Consider Court powered expense order Names this camera in news reports
that they will p
r not same should
aring. (f) Name on and in his have victim S of any investinvestinvestigations cleaning own derense. The derecommendation how for that his health ar for sheets, pillow & لا (c) cin County letters ha in battery por quest Z The other hair 1. Mr. report energy and for defendant's in i any matters evaluate to include periods for be made discovery. of where with from Pitkin all such let toilet to of maintain health and energ with Brown & F m at public ex on & Howard to Conditions of of Defendant. rd to subjec results of and Exhi to a doctor state of his subject discretion have Sheriff ar Lered as Ex iate or JO should not 40 Court and broom and ne doctor sults of a so handle Se for location whether to for provided at allowed to rney is to ints entered confinement referred assoc assure same the exerci letters of his se inappropriate oe taken t present s Garfield on sheriff's the to Improve Evidence o advi and Bundy to as Application the to prepare in include in and same determine 40 suggested by tine documents 'dow resal witness People a speak ednests. make to be orts made suspects (12) Motion to In County Jail. Evic that Defendant be Cover check to regard 44 the in for to recommendation relationships (12) Motion t the examine doc Wil possible susp People to in 40 while Court 40 to cut ion feel of they t0 examine Mr. maintained. and in activities (g) Distr Defendant to Court Tucker Applicati The Court adequate relating Identity held. People and if health report eaves person Defend for to by to

26, for May set Continuance for Defendant's Motion on De ring 2:00

Order

5/23/77 5/23/77 5/26/77

List Till bofer ant Ordered Discovery Recessor of the Do Colsaosaod Court ς; ξ uc ui Cummins C) Report of Letter to Dr. Cu davit & Progress Rep dvidence Disclosed to Copy Affid of Bv

Requested by OD nformati aterial Con OF Orde Presentation Defendant Camer as H

161 5 S.

> tkin District Court

County

C-1616 5/23/77

Leidner. counsel, L Bryant JA-Blakey and Brya with advisory coung on Defendant's for Motion -Robbins-Dills DA-appearing Pro Se wi Amended withdraws coming

and DuMas 0 T same to thereaft Non be Mr. may any such the Defendant Defendan er iscovery.

tor to Assist Detendant in in order same of for they of on regues Excision this mat s possible of report are an Otion Leidner done on Mot port same any suggestion make repor Court copy of r as soon appointed. Defendant what work to under of Defender OL Court same pe меек. to at Public Expense. Court is of should be appointed but takes sthat specific investigator may Public Defender to advise the Court. (3) Public Defender tenders to Barrett, their investigator, or case up to this point. (4) Melayed until after their scheme People are to advise the Court matters in their files. (5) Public of this of ten the end in this regard. n to Show Ca itation to Same is with (6) Motion before Defendant (2) Motion Court have

1-1

- Evidence Court will Appointment for the 41 0 ample O ct Attor submit: taken ima wil problem barto duestic Н the Court expected would of of E.T. procedure appointing Cummins on of Defendant fendant. Ev st jurisdiction determination Appointment for Ap. Ar. Bundy can be war of the treatment was of the treatment was not the treatment was not the treatment was not the treatment was not be to be to to pe J O stri to and Copy Said opinion to be directed of treatment Mr. Bundy can be the deferring of the treatment the tooth in question. Dr. there are different avenues t respect to the case an some basis upon which s dental with a report also.
 (7) Motion for or Establishing lead Motion and on, to file with to manner in which cust a the to the case also to Order to Defe ice her there are different in order to reduce expense. th the Court and copy sent the court and copy sent out the defendant's and to appointment serv the work to personal s int, Mr. Chuck Morton, t will subject himself to orders with resnores the of For to nim submit opinions. a part separate. People Loout prejudice 1 r Providing Dente requests Dr. Cun his opinion review for opinion Said o prior the and With respect nd have h fees d the Court will make in this case. examination. Sa whether loss of t to that he will suning all orders that gives the y be involved preasonable fee Defendant in Human Halland Test Same.
 by Defendant, Milthe to he will whether problem in filed with opinion to be lilly
 District Attorney may ob
 by another dentist and h subject written start on his prior examination whether absence some to arrience pain and whether arrience pain and whether the lost of for Oru-t. Court possibly cause the directed to state very to the dental proble opinion to be filed concerning tement that that may be will be allowed reasonableness. is carried out, may that Defendant statement statement Expert Gather requested that and provide Court . Will ame fees Cita Same (6) of I of ಡ
 - on and Court nformat 1 Informa · H on objection Criminal ordered r have no consideration of the plane of the pl (8) Motion for Arraignment. People hadvises Defendant of his rights under Defendant tenders plea of Not Guilty. expert
- enforcemen Ŭ C refere exchang whether conferen ame nerved reviewing of hearings recei to Attorneys certificate were in ti tha of pe Wri det rule to also filed y law that ference e purpose and notes ngs. after 0 рy conference, whether and conference, whethere of ficers based upon an interest of the conference of the e Notice of Hearing sclosures(a)Motion 1 975 held in Aspen by lant preceeding cen Notice t con on Kelley ate inspecti Defend the advise C same appropri for ic From Suppressice immediately predeto give written h ø the reflect (Kane camera part States to to same Western United State requests People ove: any the available same until a time immedia sssion. Defendant to give slley of that hearing and to be placed in the file. said motion along with the tion for Discretionary Discretece on November 12, 19 and in United enforcement consider of for kept 10 Court fromthat made WAS People 0 mailing to be roop of said motion Court pe transcript the thcy b lable resulted County the ailab. conference on suppression from Kelley personel from information. whether trans whether that Motion continues made detai repor Ø Kane and to 6

ACTIONS OF REGISTER

So. Case

> Z tki Court District

County

se Establishmen Exclusionary Filing Dates Discl and for Motionfor efendant 0 Motion mens. Moti for Pretrial Continue Motions and Specir Publi Analysis from to Suppression ublic fr Motion the Human Hair Publi Hair for ຶ່ນ Test Huma Motion to Defenda Human Exclude the Defendant Pretrial in ţ. Disclosures. d Documents t f Mailing. and Expert t's Motion to L. sion) Hearings. Gather an and of 10 OF a Procedure to Discretionary I Case Files and Certificate of (Suppression) and Hearings Appointment o

0

further, 1977 9 •-.977 May o May 13, Considered 10 be parte to be continued ex p hearing dascheduled. motions presently ling and for FI on appearance Filing date frextension of 5/3/17

tten Defendan 97 Wri Leidner present ns. Defendant's Motion Hoge 9 submit N 13, May Ed Defendant's and documents e filed by May ed to be held M and t. DA-Blakey with Advisory Counsel Leg of on Defendant's Motions and Court orders People st Kieni rt grants. I files and Y to be filly filed to Ch Di Sheriff iscover,
Disclose case
or on Discovery
resently Court on for hearing on covery heard and Cd to Discovery. to Se tter Lohr-Robbins-Dills Pro order Le i f £ : in regard to Di Public Defender BUNDY THE PEOPLE VS. BUNDY Judge Lohr-Robbins-D Defendant appearing written or on Pending of Defendants on SC coming for Dis On People's ing Matter Motion Order i Have Pu Copies Hear 8:00 9/7

Discovery for Motion S on Defendant andum and Argument on scretionary Disclosur Memora For Dia for endant's Motion Defe

6

J

~ 1 19 2 2 H Apri on Court Open in iled Discovery for Motion けっ Amendment 5/9/77

Evidence (nonmaterial) Nondiscoverable of sion Exci al Judi -16/5

Snith > eople A of Copy 77 16/9

the in Confinement to f same. ons of Cc Support tions in Condi-Defendant's ail and Affi Improve tounty Ja County Motion to Garfield C 5/9/7

ith Wi Comply Support 10 d for Failure Affidavit in Issued and 1977 Order 25, H Apri. Contempt Citation Court dated Apri of for Ruling Motion Ø -16/5

Defendant the to Care al Dont Providing Order for Motion 5/9/7

Communicat al Confidenti Guaranteeing Relationships Order Priviledged Protective on for An Pro Establishing Motion and 7 1 161

ons

Defendan Indigent st Si AS to ator 5 Investi an of Appointment 0 Expens OX for ion Pub Mot. a 1 6

Order scovery Di 5/15/77 Suppress Suppress to Motion ons .4 Mot S ¥ De -2/16/

Daronch at from Conside in Support Testimony of Carol Da graphic Identification and Lineup Court Identification, Testime ion to Suppress Photographic stimony of Lizabeth Harter ar Evidence, Incorporeal, Particul ter Har Lizabeth Bill of P rike the D strike Of for Testimony Motion for in Cour Motion

Penalty offidavit Death Arraignment Mailing οf cate for to Motion Motion Thereo Certif

H

Suppor

in

かんこう

いない

11

12/25

11117

County

District Court

616 77 04

nq fendant J D 0 0 4) Tha regar suppli his (11) That fo the 7. (2, provide That all the ono which allowed secret sel. that Of ender as advisory counsel and provide gator to the Defendant. (3) That all Defendant at his request. (4) That all one once in the morning and once in the That Defendant be allowed to receive a week. (6) That Defendant be allowed to receive to receive the a 3 hr. period two afternoons at the furnished the services of a secretary. to prepare 1977. (2) make mot: 0 examini in improvement Transcript d equipment in legal texts of pe comin consequences to his endant Defendant se, but Springs times. OWn tween warns counsel Def in addition continue anyone fions bet 9 Mat to keep n at all afternoon 6 days a week. (5) That Defendant at his requestation of 6 days a week. (5) That Defendant be all phone calls at anytime 7 days a week. (6) That Defendant be bloome calls at anytime 7 days a week. (6) That Defendant be furnished the servithru the Colo. Public Defendant be furnished the servithru the Colo. Public Defendant be furnished the servithru the Colo. Public Defendant be allowed to keep in his cell 1 he requests. (10) That Defendant be allowed to keep in preparation for the case in his possession at all the Court enter a protective order preventing anyone or seizing such work product or any communications by and parties involved with this case, and finally for the conditions of his incarceration.

For a ruling on the above motions refer to Reporter! For a ruling on the above motions refer to Reporter!

The Court's Ruling filed on April 18, 1977. All other were not ruled upon in said transcript whould be prefamed in the court denies people's Motion to hold all future hear! Springs. At the request of the Public Defender, Court that reporter prepare transcript of the ruling in thi furnish it to all parties. The Public Defender is to copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything that is filed in the copies of anything the copies of anything the copies of anything the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of the copies of De for Glenwood May and and Reporter a sible nimself an Argument of an be held in Glerral motions ir for Defender r filing o s advisory That the the set for the sublic Defender as ad it investigator to the tendant a the Defendant a the Defendant a 0 represent eidner Defendant In attempting to rept of self incrimination. Sobjection to Defendant pall future hearings be heles the following oral motions of the public Defendant part the Publi the effects unsel, Leidi o Se Motion Defendant are a. resent with Defendant's des of their be provided int proceed pro se. (1) the motions which a That Court appoint the services of the Johns' could arrise in to possibility or People make no o in request that al. Defendant makes advises pre that Court

future grant other Mot prepared Motions future hearings pe ton in the counsel Court this to a.m.

order

shed

aring furnis

motion g and

S

17

enwood

in

which iting the

ion in to

ons

نه

1 other

Courts Reeling

0-16.16

Genomin riction

4/25/7 C-1616

helic) yes

ng on for Material, make motions epare His Defense. f Counsel. Court 25, 1977 by the nd matter set for coming Research any hear Supplies, Reto and malso and the People. Argument of Can Court's Ruling filed April 25 files Motion for Discovery and 7 at 8:00 a.m., Court will also the end of this week. Defenda Leidner for Equipment, o Se Defendant counsel DA-Bryant with advisory Pro Motion Ø files and the Bundy -Johnston-Dills ρλ in 1977 Defendants es Required Defendant Defendant ed present 6 evidenc on May Services lence of D ono vs. Lohr-People vs. Judge Lohr Defendant hearing on Evidence or ruling as reporter. as and

viá Defendant requests iminary GOD in B rd the Preli furnished οf Was pe transcript that he A' which and U.S the comments Country to an addition 1 Court's comm(lo. Ski Count Defendant an 010 the J Ü hearing. grant booklet O γď 1.8 ed the and fil 0

ng

Hea

pa ence

41

ACTIONS REGISTER OF

5/9/-0 No.

County

PITKIN

3/22/77

In ceed 20 ۵. 4 0 4. No denying J

th

Camer

4/4/7 C-1616

× B 0 ank undy ohnst Je vs. je Lohr ert Russ

to a ng a a prior to evidence ing, and to otice seriorite 00 a eidner. requests exception ing learing proper denies of P ons ker,

& Chas.

Defendant

s same with f

t Makes motic

from present

Preliminary

e of lack of

q. Court

Eviden

8:00 s Dumas aring. grants Pre ISE ring sel ant Defenda Defenda People Se the Decaus Sheari Counse ame Hea Court ر ک clos ying this ınsel minar s. th couns-Preliminesses. Witness to prev ns, to testify ss for tements Lohr-Johnston-Jill
t Russell
dant present with
r coming on for-Pfe
stration of witness
r DA's Advisory Wi
ning of hearing to
milar transactions
nt DaRonch from tes
ing her as witness
ns. Opening states
ot completed, cont People voludge Lo Robert Robert Robert Sequestr Fisher Deginnin of simil prevent of using motions.

da ס E 0 2 4.4 dence c /ill revic decision. if probable of filin/ vs es 00. Evider Court will o announce dec s motions if p from date of earing on " umed and comple ent of Counsel. on 4/6/77 to of Defendant's given 30 days y hearings. He 7, 1977 at 9:00 Argument o f:00 p.m. on date of De People give identiary heal fendar ted. t 4 t du du evi set and set ven for found a are for e of Def complet 0 .- v a D dence D.- 0 0 den a evide May (cause to pr ٧i٠

1/6/7

4 0 hi ه. ه ده 4 0 in d 77 9 E 6 SO 25 B S. 4 9 2 -Bryant probable Defendar for April 4 DA is Lohr-Johnston-Kaplanoff - Leidner. Court rules there Court sets hearing on whethere erred to Garfield County Jai People Judge DPWC, case. transi

36

ty d ount saic rs rial Garfield Cont opposes s Court order lawaiting tr · 0 Matter coming on efendant to Garfie t. Defendant opport Counsel. Court Court 4-4r Deptop t to t E W ⊆ .-DA-Tucker nsel, Leidn to Transfe Sheriff's e. Argumen nston-Dills Dent with couns ple's Motion tquest of the Sace of People. Lohr-Johnsto dant present ng on People' at the Reques T. Evidence tter ma. People vs. Judge Lohr Defendant hearing on Jail at th Motion. E Defendant

17 -1616

ACTIONS OF REGISTER

| 0 | d |
|---|------|
| v | d |
| - | , |
| C | X |
| | - |
| | |
| , | ž |
| - | _ |
| ľ | Sisc |
| • | _ |

| trict Court_ |
|--------------|
| ırt – |

- us ge 777

00 --- t a Ma Mo unse t h OF E .-B ロるに S t 11 04 0 K NWO De se in 0 to a D. - . L 200 J. C 9 nc ع 0 or S 0.5 O 00 ved 0 5 D r. \supset 0 ner 3 01 DA \supset O d ei · u = NE > t o ₹ aw \subseteq . o -c BUND DDA-Shi counse 94 + = 0.by hea of morrer エセーちのも Q. e a 5 0 5 5 VS J0 Pp 0.0 Q SO BB se n se 7540.00 = 0400 0.0 5 B OZCO 0 = 0 THE |
Judge
Defer
for |
Oral
from

obose ສ .r. 0 B 3 >0 0 0 0 . DE 0 E trical trical Hearrical Jection OW 0-CI on C acti ered. ing to ent DA. C E -+ +0 a 0 0 [or heepres onti at rde due ng tel se e d e April ess pro cate n-Diils DA-T as. Matter egard to pres p. 2/28/77 vaca of hearing o TEODORE as /S. THEODONS Counsel. 0 ro dner Order of (> 7 S Or on t. PO 0.0 0 0 5 10 0 2.0 B HE PEC ludge L PWC/Lc orm of rgumer n Moti b HOO4 4 OCH 10 2/14/7 C-1616

PROCEEDINGS eviewoista and unHera ks s re-n P 0 = E U ._ \supset 0 аy 10 3 Date nate Lece J. 10 Later Roger Meticis 16 V 3 estar. ria ca no Cons. 2 2 大大 12 188

77 4 8:30 N totion The state

11/10 200 12 Jones of Mich 12 300 Charles Scients 2/6/2

1.76.1 indest. Brie. J<u>?</u> ,")" 3/10/27 1/4/4

9-ပ်က

rent.
room.
owed
nander
uty. (1) S 0 0 the his B S D 3 manne a o D a argı urt ם יר יר היר יר 0.0 ._ (· ' ' court p n d .- .-T to n o 0 + > inde \subseteq ~ 0 S> hir rd be on a ar gargare ave th tat rese r r he SCE raine riff's tions room endant C 0 ua fo re ___ 0 0 a into S a) 54 Q in in nur 000 ceec a ir ings eop oses epre se s t oduc n rega Court S G ourt proc media heari 9000 20. ur r r p -5 (0) e J om. Coust brough rules dunay be may be or reprocession to the contract or reprocession the contract or the contract broad managements. the man he (ane. the pu 30 to in es th fender of the trial. Kane the Bryant couns ant ir a S 4 for en 1 s I but m room phs o g foundament courted the sphotograph of the sported the sported to the second t t is urt but >0 S E 50 0 er ut eff rt col ٠- ٢٠٠ cke br cour IC U stre ced to the that no the being transpourt. At a participation any selley feel to the contraction and t 0 0 0 defe gument. et clr 2 4 3 5 0 persor + S Kell Kell of I nt. thi s in fy 2 2 3 5 nt = ·- a a bearing in letter cought in 5 +1 arte at is brought o same befor Defendant.

Court in stransportect while beindant in stransportect in stransportect in stransportect in while beind in the desires egard to the pa o t In ton-[C ·- 4 a) 7 こち らら y stopped by the property of t our ar cts B ohn Ø roco y co o po bjec pal ing trandants rundant linow hat he of to in t B 0 0 ece 500 000 ШM 957 54 0 ple vs ge Lok endant ch arce E Q 0) 10 (0) on on a surir cutic cuti Court the defter letter liotion appear object Defend of me the Cthe legal 7, 70 having thaving to appro-to appro-court the def 074 Pe

0 7 ___

3

D ٦ ď. B T = O e an 0 5 O. 5 W = 2+ 0 4 uc Managar Mat ndi De Con . 0 Herd. counsel ion O D n en ry Dumas 0 4 B ave a c o t 5 or id re ry Pul Pul 400 D 6. Z i a A A D o t L B Not Not of tment o to with sun. S 0 - \subseteq to 00 Di th dal ⊆ .. 1 .- 5007 00000 4 04 0 0 0 S Pott \$ ≥ 0 0 C 0 XM John on fc 50 0-900 .c an = Lo idant hear ise 5-re te r t ur 44004004

| District Court | Court | County ———— | rase no. | |
|--------------------------|--|-------------|----------|--------|
| | | | | |
| Case Number C-1616 | - Oli L | | Fees | |
| Date of Filing 10/26/76 | PEOPLE OF STATE OF | Date | By | Amount |
| Judge Lohr | 10000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 10/26/76 | | |
| Attorneys Dist. Atty. | THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY | | | |
| ub.Def. Leidner | | | . 30 | |
| ype of Case 18-3-102 | | | | - |
| JISPO: | REGISTER OF ACTIONS | | | |
| 4 | | | | |

Warrant **PROCEEDINGS** Arrest for INFORMATION Affidavit return W CRIMINAL WARRANT DIRECT C AFFIDAVI' ARREST W 10/26/76

letter 3 accompanied ender Def ic Publ of S service for Bundy Application Def from

BUNDS PEOPLE 12/3/16

Leidner Def ic Publ Bryant er present. Bundy Leidner Def Def esent ano Pub ton-Kapl epr esent. H to pr Lohr-Johns appointed not

VS. T Robbi <u>ا</u> oh PEO pn 1/31/77

5_ (1) 4) 0. 0 0) = re ES 0 er 00 b. st a nd C 2 6 eque ma 2 ت ص de√ to th th coun luring or of that en ring the control of restraining er that particople in regard request the T O dcuffs hat du aphs o Shern on Publi n g tographed. heari DuM and brin of r rder e of Peopl People's room in ha art orders of permitt qu James for e 7. to 0 room 0.0 0 f Soin uck Court ant be Cc 0 s c. ar 0.0 _ me a in some 15 min. Evidenc grants Court 11y. Cc Defenda el, Ci arance comi 0 rder 0) only. e] DY olo. Ssion fro. Court room 11, matter for 15 Later: E: Court ng only the De Fendant súch or in this appe couns ters. DORI Dil 5- 0 orce De 9 brought this hea porting ing en 0 enf appearies also ce of Co mermis muthe Co couns es Sp a 0 5 tate for ntir hea of 47 rangons er 7 rt ф Defendant Mr. Leidr Def., Sta Court con for this Argument Defendant purposes of the tr impressio authorize Mr. Leic Def., St People f to and f Court co

110 der O heal O in 4) fac άvi irs 30 an 0 order ono 0 end a Moti 50 Ve ter coming on for is rights under of Murder in the open of the ope 43 63 63 in 0 O Defi min. \subseteq at restr ricti Pre] February i s th re st Defendant of his main set at \$25,000 filed charging main set at \$25,000 filed charging of Defendant. Dry Hearing. Court will require tions in reasonable. re to re to E 0 prior 00 a.m gs ard r Court tions learing lem hed 0 9 minal Information Bond will remai appointment of P Preliminary Hear filed in behalf t on Preliminary 11, 1977 at 9:00 ys estimated) Co shes to file moti during future hea so and have them said Motions set set Fe sent with advises E nformation re Ω. = 00 Defendant now of rights. CDirect Crimin (18-3-102) B that prior ap Motion for Prequirement of to March 11, (1 to 2 days counsel wished must do so Hearing on sa NOW do so on si will aring He No

(Pub. Def Camera in Proceed to Motion Def.

C-1616 Case No.

District Court

Pitkin

County

C-1616 5/23/77

Leidner Se with advisory counsel, Le ring on Defendant's Motions. for Discovery Motion hearing V. DONG - Nobins - Dills appearing Pro for Matter coming on for Defendant withdraws

- thereafter matter be they may Mr. Assist Defendant investigator Court requests of on Excision n this mat s possible of report done on in suggestions report advisement peen for Judicial as copy Defendant copy what work had to make soon appointed. Investigator opinion tha of any under as Public Defender week or on what Motion may be ap same of People aws Amended Mo the takes ic Defender tenders their investigator, o this point. (4) of this ild be appointed but tak investigator advise nis point. Evidence. n this regard. before the end to Defender Public Barrett, tur Public Court have that
 - and DuMas such Defendant there are any Motion Leidner the Defendant withdraws request hearing or meeting witition writing their scheduled n the Court in wri es. (5) Defende scoverable Evidence. Pe layed until after their advise the to are Citation Same is w case up Discover delayed matters People
- District Attorne Evidence to the dental problem in Older and copy sent to District Attorne opinion to be filed with the Court and copy sent to District Attorney may obtain separate examination of Defendant District Attorney may obtain separate examination of Defendant by another dentist and have him submit a report also. Court will consider same on the written opinions. (7) Motion for Appointment of Expert in Human Hair Analysis and For Establishing Procedure to Gather and Test Same. Court grants said Motion and expert court presents by Defendant, Mr. Chuck Morton, to file with the Court for sample submit estimate question will taken expected would of problem to quest the procedure same.
 Defendant.
 +o the Court or reoblem the Cummins the jurisdiction the case and to Appointment determination of Dr. co of examination. Said Opinion. Bundy can be wire some type of treatment Mr. Bundy can be wise some type of the deferring of the treatment in an extion. Dr. Cumm to to manner in which custody appol Copy upon which and 's dental are different avenues Order services reduce expense. out submit in their files. (5) Delemant in their files. (5) to Show Cause against Public Defenders, withdrawn without prejudice to reassert suion for Order Providing Dental Care for Elant Court requests Dr. Cummins submit the defendant's and to appointment the ourt grants said Mourton, to to work to some basis Court of Same.
 dant, Mr. Chuck Morconi, will subject himself the control of personal part of People Court requests Dr. Cunt of his opinion of r there are review prior t Court it unce pain and whether the y cause the loss of the ted to state whether there dental problem in order to be filed with the Cou respect and gives the Con involved pric sonable fees make subject to Defendant to Gather and Test Same.
 requested by Defendant, Pastatement that he will wi.11 reasonable With case. Court dental pro statement that may be that absence will be allowed reasonableness. is carried out, same and the Co this possibly cause directed to sta whether above that Defendant. statement Motion in provide will be written expert the fees 40 of
 - ion for Arraignment. People have no objection. Court Defendant of his rights under Direct Criminal Information. It tenders plea of Not Guilty. Plea ordered received and Motion for Defendant entered (8) adv
- referen conference enforcemen exchangin same made receive reviewing of determine to Exclude Public From Suppression Hearings. Court same until a time immediately preceeding the hearings sion. Defendant to give written Notice to Attorneys ley of that hearing and reflect same on certificate o writing were in that rules to also r of conference, filed law that and purpose notes in after by the uodn continues same until a continues same until befendant to give written Notice of consuppression. Defendant to give written Notice on cerkane & Kelley of that hearing and reflect same on cermailing to be placed in the file. (Kane & Kelley to mailing to be placed in the file. (Kane & Kelley to copy of said motion along with the Notice of Hearing copy of said motion along with the Notices (a) Motion (10) Motion for Discretionary Disclosures (a) Motion (10) Defendant Court relative to t any appropriate the based the advise nce, whether officers base for of the camera States any part to to discovery same conference People available In er 12, I United enforcement e consider s for kept of Western Uni trequests to Court transcript was ker resulted fromthat made subject People law 10 the We ther the Perinat they be available are resulted Pitkin County from parts information. whether tran whether that personel informati made reports any detail and 6

Sissi

Case No. C-1616

| County | |
|----------|--|
| Pitkin | |
| Court | |
| District | |

present the record Identity by Tucker. all to F.B.I. made available presently Same in this hearing of relationships other withdraws question radio to prevent (11) Motion for Protective Order Guaranteeing Confidental
Communications and Priviledged Relationships. Motion to prevent
motoring of Defendant's phone calls granted. Court desires some
changes in phone call procedures and same is set forth on the recMotion to generally prohibit monotoring or interference with
confidential relationships granted as to priviledged relationships
in regard to DuMas and Leidner and as to priviledged relationships
in regard to the extent the law allows. (12) Motion to Improve
Conditions of Confinement in Garfield County Jail. Evidence of
Defendant. Argument. Court requires that Defendant be taken to
doctor for a physical examination relating to the present state o
his health and maintenance of health while in Garfield County Jail energy and pillow cleaning include some OF same of ion relating to the present state nealth while in Garfield County Jasuggest a physician to the Court. entered as Exhibits in this heari Court gy and intellectual doctor to prepare their report frequently he defendant's expense Morton ρλ to evaluate whether to powered addresses request. other for granted into and (e) to county Sheriff to F. made in camera hearing. (e) rts of statements made should available defendant the ts, pillow a and toilet Defendant to periods and n supplements expense is gra investigation information made availak with doctor discretion peen case have battery in camera this tre his own defense. The doctor ude in his recommendation how from Bundy to assure that his health led. Application for sheets, pil in ańd s have bee available associate sts the do and energy se. The doc investigations (c) broom discovery. and ll provide should be -vitamin letters at requests tion as to execte Sheriff's any matters OWn any be made be provided allowed to of confinement t speak and Attorney is ort and include in his recommence examine Mr. Bundy to assure that are maintained. Application for mop, s denied. Application for mop, news reports they will prov from Pitkin to subject results of a his to maintain health of all such multi at Court not in his report his recommendation Defendant. Court leaves to the any same s subject in news r o review same and sexamine documents econditions of conf Defendant pe also handle for loaction to should pe not The District in regard and the r results o I inappropriate for regard to loacting Cover letters for check to see if a provision 1 to Defend Defendant will rulings. Court that haircut same determine whether or to co prepare and incl Leidner to review granted. same examine davise Court Defendant make The these suspects to include to check to they feel urt and make Court will adequate denied. provided the for for sician to eadvised of allowing Application cell (b) Reports possible sus People to in activities this report pplication heard should exar levels are cases is d witness Defendant. (q) Physician Defendant Court Defendant persons People People People pe be ad diet Mr.

for set Defendant's Motion for Continuance is on De 2:00 Hearing 1977 at



| No.C-1616 | |
|-----------|---------------|
| Case N | County |
| | Pitkin |
| | istrict Court |

| Case Number C-1616 | Title | | Fees | |
|--|-----------------------|----------|------|--------|
| Date of Filing 10.726.726 | PEOPLE OF STATE OF | Date | By | Amount |
| 0//07/01 8 | COLORADO, | 00100101 | | |
| Judge Lohr | VS. | 10/79/10 | | |
| Attorneys Dist. Attv. | THEODORE ROBERT BUNDY | | | 2 |
| 1 | | | | |
| Pub. Def. Leidner | | | | |
| Type of Case 18-3-102 | | | | |
| niseo. | | | | |
| | REGISTER OF ACTIONS | | | |
| The second secon | | | | |

| Date | MEMORANDUM OF PROCEEDINGS |
|----------|---|
| 10/26/76 | DIRECT CRIMINAL INFORMATION AFFIDAVIT ARREST WARRANT Affidavit for Arrest Warrant " & return |
| 12/3/76 | Application for services of Public Defender accompanied w/ lettefrom Def Bundy. |
| 12/3/76 | PEOPLE V. BUNDY Lohr-Johnston-Kaplanoff DDA Bryant Def not present. Pub Def Leidner present. Public Def Leidner appointed to represent Def Bundy. |
| 1/31/77 | THE PEOPLE VS. THEODORE BUNDY |

er

0-1616

re Sua 10 to me d s re ue o .- .der 4 . 0 0 > ub. a s e e e a y s nt vi ma en ue S aining deviparties ma regard to est that the ffs for the t during the phs or othe un requ 00 a a S ono 2200 c v t s, ng s DuMas; hearing ing the restrai r that p ole in r is reques nandcuff rs that sted. S in i for of or Pla no peri f 0 C L C 0+0 eop om on to sori in (A-Tu uck of 0 s Pe O il, Chucarance coming he Courn some 5 min.
ividence grants Court ly. 5_ T . 0 5- 5 O o, y. Defer, ant wi ۱ or ر om il or li or li i Er the (S 0.0 coun ng or the endar such 2+ a t .£C .ns-L .aring . .lso ente .colo. .nission . .court r. matter f. .ater ro Co Co ng 2 5 of right o o o o ht. 5 0 selught ugh -Robbin appearing te of Cc permis m the C tinues m rearing. of couns be brou of this ansporting nng rrouns rt rt the نه دب or rom ntin he of t p hr t tra ion zed urt S ige . fenda 1 .- 4 0 trade, fender fros the the pres ruan. Jude Defe Mr. To Cour for imp imp sauth set

9 G P חמ eme ou day () O S advi. 4 U 75 75 76 0 3 y and ives in the property of 0 4 D NDOCA Court ord iscovery and dant waives preliminar overy Motic training of ctive press 4 2 50 ξo on funder D min hts der right will for [Person Defer L Sets the Correstrate of the February Learn February Learn February Learn Lea 0 0 00 サママナ charging charging charging efender Motion and court regard t Datot. 1 de de ct വ മ പ 54-5 ch counses Defendation file set Public aring ar It of Defendation of the It of Defenion of the Itaria of present with courount advises Deferont advises Deferond will remain substitute of Publication for the preliminary Hearing 1977 at 9:00 a.m estimated) Court so file motions and have them he aid Motions set foberone. Courtinal
Court
Court
Court
Court
Court
Court
Court
filed NO W ays ist Jefendant of rights Direct-Cr (18-3-102 that prio Motion fo Inspectio requireme for March (1 to 2 d counsel w Defendant he must c Hearing (

t's he rdel TO 42. ing mi nin imi 日い 440 to r 77 regard and for d prior t 5 3 .--5 N 200 rt ns ing for D hes uri so sai D W .z de nt do on

'ng

Def. (Pub Ø amer Ü in ceed Pro 0 tion Def